

**TITLE III
PROCUREMENT**

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required to purchase military equipment and hardware, including aircraft, ships, missiles, combat vehicles, ammunition, weapons, electronic sensors and communications equipment, and other procurement items.

The President's fiscal year 2015 budget requests a total of \$89,660,299,000 for procurement appropriations.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee recommends procurement appropriations totaling \$91,409,693,000 for fiscal year 2015. This is \$1,749,394,000 above the budget estimate.

Committee recommended procurement appropriations for fiscal year 2015 are summarized below:

SUMMARY OF PROCUREMENT APPROPRIATIONS

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Procurement:			
Aircraft Procurement, Army	5,102,685	4,880,153	- 222,532
Missile Procurement, Army	1,017,483	1,008,692	- 8,791
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	1,471,438	1,701,549	+ 230,111
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	1,031,477	1,015,477	- 16,000
Other Procurement, Army	4,893,634	4,449,383	- 444,251
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	13,074,317	13,960,270	+ 885,953
Weapons Procurement, Navy	3,217,945	3,263,794	+ 45,849
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	771,945	754,845	- 17,100
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy	14,400,625	15,895,770	+ 1,495,145
Other Procurement, Navy	5,975,828	6,060,433	+ 84,605
Procurement, Marine Corps	983,352	944,029	- 39,323
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	11,542,571	11,214,612	- 327,959
Missile Procurement, Air Force	4,690,506	4,652,552	- 37,954
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	677,400	675,459	- 1,941
Other Procurement, Air Force	16,566,018	16,500,308	- 65,710
Procurement, Defense-Wide	4,221,437	4,380,729	+ 159,292
Defense Production Act Purchases	21,638	51,638	+ 30,000
Total	89,660,299	91,409,693	+ 1,749,394

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR ACQUISITION ACCOUNTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance specified in the report accompanying the House version of the fiscal year 2008 Department of Defense Appropriations bill (House Report 110-279). Specifically, the dollar threshold for reprogramming funds will remain at

\$20,000,000 for procurement and \$10,000,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

In addition, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is directed to continue to provide the congressional defense committees quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for service and defense-wide accounts in titles III and IV of this act. Reports for titles III and IV shall comply with guidance specified in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2006. The Department shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogrammings are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a procurement (P-1) or research, development, test and evaluation (R-1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Secretary of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this statement.

PROCUREMENT OVERVIEW

Physical Access Control Systems.—The Committee is concerned with the challenges the Department of Defense continues to face with the efficacy of their physical access control systems that should prevent unauthorized access to Department of Defense installations. The Department of Defense continues to develop and deploy incompatible programs and systems. These solutions increase costs and often fail to meet existing requirements. Commercially available physical access control systems address these shortfalls in that they are affordable, meet Department of Defense requirements, and do not have a significant sustainment cost. Therefore, the Secretaries of the Army, Navy, and Air Force shall perform a business case analysis that examines the development, procurement, and sustainment cost of existing physical access control systems compared to the cost of physical access control systems available commercially. The Secretaries shall provide a report to the congressional defense committees summarizing the outcome of this business case analysis and actions they plan to take to implement the most affordable solution no later than 180 days after enactment of this act.

Rocket Motor Industrial Base.—The Committee is concerned that the domestic industrial base for tactical solid rocket motors continues to be impacted by constrained budgets, the use of foreign vendors, and a lack of competition. For example, a foreign supplier began development and qualification for a new rocket motor on the AMRAAM missile in 2009 after the domestically supplied rocket failed to qualify because of issues with the propellant and the blast tube insulation. The Committee has learned that the Navy may also be exploring a rocket motor source from a foreign vendor for a tactical missile program. Finally, the Committee understands that the Army recently awarded a sole-source contract for rocket

motors for the Guided Multiple Launch Rocket System, a program that has been stable and in production for some time.

The Committee is concerned that in these programs, a competition for a new rocket motor vendor was not executed; and in two programs, the Department is becoming more reliant on a foreign supplier. The Committee is closely following these developments across all services, as rocket motors continue to be a critical component of the defense industrial base. The Committee believes that whenever possible, domestic sources should be considered, and full and open competition employed before awarding contracts.

Therefore, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to conduct an independent assessment of domestic and foreign-sourced rocket motor propulsion for all Department of Defense tactical missile programs. This report should include the impacts of foreign-sourced rocket motors on domestic suppliers, and the national security impacts on the defense industrial base. This report shall be delivered to the congressional defense committee not later than 180 days of enactment of this act.

The Committee also directs the Government Accountability Office [GAO] to provide a report to the congressional defense committees within 180 days of enactment of this act that outlines the assumptions and analysis utilized by the Army to justify a sole-source contract to develop and qualify new, insensitive munitions-compliant rocket motors for the Guided Multiple Launch Rocket System, and why a competitive acquisition strategy was not used.

Army Organic Industrial Base.—The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide 45-day written notification to the congressional defense committees prior to the Secretary approving civilian reductions in force that will result in an employment loss of 50 or more full-time employees at any Army organic industrial base facility. The notification shall include the impact that the proposed reduction in force will have on the ability to maintain the organic industrial base critical manufacturing capabilities as delineated in the Army Organic Industrial Base Strategy Report, a detailed accounting of the costs of implementing the reduction in force, and an assessment of the cost of, and time necessary, to restore any lost capability to meet future organic wartime manufacturing needs.

Management of Conventional Ammunition Inventory.—The Committee is aware of the Department of Defense's efforts to better manage its conventional ammunition inventory. The Government Accountability Office recently reported in "Actions Needed to Improve Department-wide Management of Conventional Ammunition Inventory" that more work needs to be done, particularly regarding information sharing between the services. Incomplete and unreliable inventory systems can lead to the wasteful destruction of ammunition, duplicative procurement of ammunition that may be available in the stockpiles of another service, and shortages of ammunition required for forward-stationed forces. To use limited resources more efficiently and improve support to our warfighters, the Committee encourages the Department of Defense to accelerate efforts to automate ammunition tracking and inventory accounting, and affirms its support for the reporting requirements directed in

the report accompanying S. 2410, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, as reported, titled “Management of Conventional Ammunition Inventory.”

Arsenal Sustainment Initiative.—The Committee supports the ongoing efforts of the Department of the Army to develop the Army Organic Industrial Base Strategy. This process is identifying manufacturing capabilities at each organic industrial facility that are critical for this country to sustain in times of war and peace. However, the Committee is concerned that while the Army Organic Industrial Base Strategy is identifying capabilities, further action is needed to fund these capabilities at a level adequate to maintain them. In particular, the Nation’s arsenals are at risk of not having the capacity to respond rapidly to meet the Department’s needs. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to assign the arsenals sufficient workload to maintain the critical capabilities identified in the Army Organic Industrial Base Strategy Report, ensure cost efficiency and technical competence in peacetime, and preserve the ability to provide an effective and timely response to mobilizations, national defense contingency situations, and other emergency requirements.

Further, the Committee is aware that while the Army’s manufacturing arsenals have provided critical wartime needs to all the services, they are not always considered by the other services for the work opportunities to sustain them in peacetime. Given the arsenals’ unique capabilities, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this act describing steps taken to encourage the Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps to better use the arsenals for their manufacturing needs.

Arsenal and Ammunition Plant Facility Maintenance.—The Committee is concerned with the reduction in funding for arsenal and ammunition plant facility maintenance. Established in most cases during World War II or before, the Army’s Government-owned, contractor-operated [GOCO] and Government-owned, Government-operated [GOGO] facilities are critical parts of our Nation’s defense industrial base, each with specific areas of expertise. However, prolonged combat operations in Afghanistan and Iraq and a more constrained budget environment have slowed the pace of necessary investments required for routine maintenance and modernization of production capabilities. With these concerns in mind, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to continue to submit the Long-range Facilities and Construction Planning at Army Ammunition Plants and Arsenals report, consistent with Senate Armed Services Committee Report 110–335, which accompanies the Duncun Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009, and 112–26, which accompanies the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012, including a detailed strategy to address the most urgent needs of the arsenals and ammunition plants and investments that will improve overall facility competitiveness and efficiency.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2014	\$4,844,891,000
Budget estimate, 2015	5,102,685,000
House allowance	5,295,957,000
Committee recommendation	4,880,153,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,880,153,000.
This is \$222,532,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		House estimate
							Budget estimate	Qty.	
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY									
AIRCRAFT									
FIXED WING									
2	1	13,617	1	13,617	1	10,787			-2,830
3	16	185,090	11	138,690	16	136,290			-48,800
4	19	190,581	19	239,581	19	189,081			-50,500
5		3,964		3,964		3,964			
ROTARY									
6	55	416,617	55	416,617	55	391,617			-25,000
7	25	494,009	28	572,009	25	494,009			-78,000
8		157,338		157,338		85,338			-72,000
12	79	1,237,001	87	1,356,227	79	1,237,001			-119,226
13		132,138		132,138		117,138			-15,000
14	32	892,504	32	892,504	32	892,504			
15		102,361		102,361		102,361			
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT									
		3,825,220		4,025,046		3,660,090			-165,130
MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT									
16	2	26,913	2	26,913	2	25,313			-1,600
18		14,182		14,182		14,182			
19		131,892		131,892		131,892			
20		181,869		181,869		181,869			
21		32,092		32,092		32,092			
22		15,029		15,029		15,029			
23		76,515		76,515		76,515			
25		114,182		114,182		105,380			-8,802
26		115,795		115,795		115,795			
27		54,277		54,277		54,277			
28		125,380		125,380		125,380			
TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT									
		888,126		888,126		877,724			-10,402

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
								Budget estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate	Qty.
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES										
29	GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS										
30	AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT		66,450		99,059		66,450				-32,609
	SURVIVABILITY CM				7,800						-7,800
31	CMWS		107,364		60,401		60,364		-47,000		-37
	OTHER SUPPORT										
32	AVIONICS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		6,847		6,847		6,847				
33	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT		29,231		29,231		29,231				
34	AIRCRAFT INTEGRATED SYSTEMS		48,081		48,081		48,081				
35	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL		127,232		127,232		127,232				
36	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		1,203		1,203		1,203				
37	LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET	387	2,931	387	2,931	387	2,931				
	TOTAL, SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES		389,339		382,785		342,339		-47,000		-40,446
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY		5,102,685		5,295,957		4,880,153		-222,532		-415,804

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	Utility F/W Aircraft	13,617	10,787	- 2,830
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			- 2,830
3	Aerial Common Sensor [ACS] [MIP]	185,090	136,290	- 48,800
	Restoring acquisition accountability: ICS/CLS early to need			- 8,800
	Budget documentation disparity: QRC breakout			- 40,000
4	MQ-1 UAV	190,581	189,081	- 1,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			- 1,500
6	Helicopter, Light Utility [LUH]	416,617	391,617	- 25,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: ECO unjustified growth			- 25,000
8	AH-64 Apache Block IIIA Reman	157,338	85,338	- 72,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess advance procurement due to quantity reduction			- 72,000
13	UH-60 Blackhawk M Model [MYP]	132,138	117,138	- 15,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess advance procurement due to quantity reduction			- 15,000
16	MQ-1 Payload [MIP]	26,913	25,313	- 1,600
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			- 1,600
25	Network and Mission Plan	114,182	105,380	- 8,802
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Aircraft notebook production delay			- 8,802
31	CMWS	107,364	60,364	- 47,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess request			- 47,000

Army Aviation Restructure Initiative [ARI].—The Army’s fiscal year 2015 budget request proposes a significant restructuring of Army aviation assets. This includes transferring all Apache helicopters to the active Army from the Army National Guard and shifting Blackhawk helicopters from the active Army to the Army National Guard. The proposal also includes retiring the Kiowa Warrior helicopters and replacing the TH-67 trainer helicopters with Lakota helicopters. The Committee believes the Army has not considered the full fiscal implications of the proposal, and the Army has not provided the Committee a comprehensive divestiture plan for the retiring helicopters.

The Committee understands that the Army estimates saving a total of \$11,942,000,000 under the ARI, including \$10,300,000,000 from divestiture of the Kiowa Warrior helicopters. However, the Committee notes the Army’s cost estimate fails to account for increased costs to procure and maintain additional Lakota helicopters, to train the Army Active Duty and Army National Guard pilots for the new missions, and to operate and maintain Apaches and Blackhawks. Therefore, the Committee directs Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation [CAPE] to do an independent cost analysis of both the ARI and the alternate Army National Guard estimates to complete the proposed transfer and associated out-years costs. The Director, CAPE shall provide a report to the congressional defense committees 120 days after enactment of this act.

In addition, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this act on the divestiture

plan for unneeded Army helicopters. This report should address (1) the number of airframes being divested under the ARI by fiscal year, (2) the number of airframes being transferred to other Government agencies, (3) the number of airframes being offered for sale to other nations, (4) the cost of divesting these aircraft, (5) the impact the divestiture of these airframes will have on the domestic rotary wing industrial base and (6) the impact of the divestiture plan on military readiness. Further, the Secretary of the Army is directed not to allow the resale or auction of any divested airframe until 30 days after the report is submitted to the congressional defense committees.

Army Oversight of Aviation Programs.—The Committee is aware of a Department of Army memorandum establishing responsibility for current and future fixed and rotary wing platforms to the Program Executive Office for Aviation. The Committee is also aware that responsibility for key subsystems of the platforms are assigned to several other Program Executive Offices. The Committee understands the Army memorandum has created inefficiencies in program acquisition, material procurements, and support in current systems by establishing multiple organizations within Government to support a single aircraft.

For example, the Enhanced Medium Altitude Reconnaissance and Surveillance System [EMARSS] program, which is covered by the subject memorandum, is under oversight by multiple Program Executive Offices. The combined oversight of multiple Program Executive Offices does not appear to have improved the EMARSS program, as it experienced severe cost growth. These cost overruns led to the Army canceling the program in the fiscal year 2015 President’s budget request. Therefore, the Committee rescinds \$73,500,000 of fiscal year 2014 funds, included in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014 for new EMARSS aircraft.

In addition, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to justify the confusing and duplicative management structure mandated by this memorandum including a description of the estimated cost savings or efficiencies that are expected by this reorganization, and report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after enactment of this act.

Army National Guard Blackhawks.—The Committee acknowledges that the older UH–60As need to be modernized and replaced as quickly as possible for the Army National Guard Blackhawk fleet to remain viable and mission capable. It is projected that the Army National Guard will not retire their last UH–60A until 2025, while the Active Army will retire their last UH–60A in 2020. Therefore, the Committee recommends accelerating the fielding of new UH–60M helicopters to the Army National Guard.

MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2014	\$1,549,491,000
Budget estimate, 2015	1,017,483,000
House allowance	1,217,483,000
Committee recommendation	1,008,692,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,008,692,000. This is \$8,791,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
									Budget estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate	Qty.
MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY												
OTHER MISSILES												
2 SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM		110,300		110,300		110,300		110,300				
3 LOWER TIER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE [AMD]	70	384,605	97	532,605	70	384,605		384,605			-27	-148,000
4 MSE MISSILE		4,452		4,452		4,452		4,452				
5 HELIFIRE SYS SUMMARY												
ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYSTEM												
6 JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY	338	77,668	338	77,668	338	77,668		72,877				-4,791
7 TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY	1,008	50,368	1,008	50,368	1,008	50,368		50,368				
8 TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY [AP-CY]		19,984		19,984		19,984		19,984				
9 GUIDED MLRS ROCKET [GMLRS]	534	127,145	534	127,145	534	127,145		127,145				
10 MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE ROCKETS (RRPR)	2,994	21,274	2,994	21,274	2,994	21,274		17,274				-4,000
TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES		795,796		943,796		943,796		787,005				-156,791
MODIFICATION OF MISSILES												
MODIFICATIONS												
12 PATRIOT MODS		131,838		183,838		183,838		131,838				-52,000
13 STINGER MODS		1,355		1,355		1,355		1,355				
14 AVENGER MODS		5,611		5,611		5,611		5,611				
15 ITAS/TOW MODS		19,676		19,676		19,676		19,676				
16 MLRS MODS		10,380		10,380		10,380		10,380				
17 HIMARS MODIFICATIONS		6,008		6,008		6,008		6,008				
TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF MISSILES		174,868		226,868		226,868		174,868				-52,000
SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS												
18 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		36,930		36,930		36,930		36,930				
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES												
19 AIR DEFENSE TARGETS		3,657		3,657		3,657		3,657				
20 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MISSILES)		1,522		1,522		1,522		1,522				

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
5	Javelin (Aaws-M) System Summary	77,668	72,877	- 4,791
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth	- 4,791
9	MLRS Reduced Range Practice Rockets (RRPR)	21,274	17,274	- 4,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost efficiencies	- 4,000

PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES,
ARMY

Appropriations, 2014	\$1,610,811,000
Budget estimate, 2015	1,471,438,000
House allowance	1,703,736,000
Committee recommendation	1,701,549,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,701,549,000. This is \$230,111,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(Dollars in thousands)

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
										Budget estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate	Qty.
	PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY												
1	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES												
	STRYKER VEHICLE		385,110		435,110		435,110		410,110		+ 25,000		- 25,000
2	MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES												
	STRYKER (MOD)		39,683		39,683		39,683		39,683				
3	FIST VEHICLE (MOD)		26,759		26,759		26,759		26,759				
4	BRADLEY PROGRAM (MOD)		107,506		107,506		107,506		144,506		+ 37,000		+ 37,000
5	HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD)		45,411		45,411		45,411		45,411				
6	PALADIN PIPM, MOD IN SERVICE	18	247,400	18	247,400	18	247,400	18	247,400				
7	IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88A2 HER- CULES)	15	50,451	40	122,451	15	122,451	15	126,364		+ 75,913	- 25	+ 3,913
8	ASSAULT BRIDGE (MOD)		2,473		2,473		2,473		2,473				
9	ARMORED BREACHER VEHICLE	7	36,583	7	36,583	7	36,583	7	36,583				
10	M88 FOV MODS		1,975		1,975		1,975		1,975				
11	JOINT ASSAULT BRIDGE	8	49,462	8	49,462	8	49,462	8	34,362		- 15,100		- 15,100
12	M1 ABRAMS TANK (MOD)		237,023		237,023		237,023		237,023				
13	ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM				120,000		120,000		120,000		+ 120,000		
14	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (TCV-WTCV)		6,478		6,478		6,478		6,478				
	TOTAL, TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES		1,236,314		1,478,314		1,478,314		1,479,127		+ 242,813		+ 813
	WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES												
16	MORTAR SYSTEMS		5,012		5,012		5,012		5,012				
17	XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE (GLM)	8,959	28,390	8,959	28,390	8,959	28,390	8,959	28,390				
18	COMPACT SEMI-AUTOMATIC SNIPER SYSTEM		148		148		148		148				
19	CARBINE	38,234	29,366	26,808	20,616	38,234	20,616	38,234	20,616		- 8,750	+ 11,426	
21	COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED WEAPONS STA- TION		8,409		8,409		8,409		8,409				
22	HANDGUN	4,811	3,957	4,811	3,957	4,811	3,957	4,811	3,957				
	MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH M777 MODS		18,166		18,166		18,166		18,166				
25	M4 CARBINE MODS		3,446		6,446		6,446		3,446				- 3,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Stryker Vehicle	385,110	410,110	+ 25,000
	Program increase: Unfunded priority, fourth DVH brigade set			+ 25,000
4	Bradley Program (MOD)	107,506	144,506	+ 37,000
	Program increase: Unfunded priority			+ 37,000
7	Improved Recovery Vehicle (M88A2 Hercules)	50,451	126,364	+ 75,913
	Program increase: Unfunded priority			+ 75,913
11	Joint Assault Bridge	49,462	34,362	- 15,100
	Improving funds management: Early to need			- 15,100
13	Abrams Upgrade Program		120,000	+ 120,000
	Program Increase: Maintain critical industrial base			+ 120,000
19	Carbine	29,366	20,616	- 8,750
	Improving funds management: Excess to need			- 8,750
28	M240 Medium Machine Gun Mods	4,635	2,635	- 2,000
	Improving funds management: Excess to need			- 2,000
31	M16 Rifle Mods	1,952		- 1,952
	Improving funds management: Excess to need			- 1,952

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2014	\$1,444,067,000
Budget estimate, 2015	1,031,477,000
House allowance	1,011,477,000
Committee recommendation	1,015,477,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,015,477,000. This is \$16,000,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
								Budget estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate	Qty.
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY AMMUNITION										
1	SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION										
2	CTG. 5.56MM, ALL TYPES		34,943		34,943		34,943				
3	CTG. 7.62MM, ALL TYPES		12,418		12,418		12,418				
4	CTG. HANDGUN, ALL TYPES		9,655		9,655		9,655				
5	CTG. 50 CAL, ALL TYPES		29,304		29,304		29,304				
6	CTG. 25MM, ALL TYPES		8,181		8,181		8,181				
7	CTG. 30MM, ALL TYPES		52,667		52,667		52,667				
8	CTG. 40MM, ALL TYPES		40,904		40,904		40,904				
	MORTAR AMMUNITION										
9	60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES		41,742		41,742		41,742				
10	81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES		42,433		42,433		42,433				
11	120MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES		39,365		39,365		39,365				
	TANK AMMUNITION										
12	CTG TANK 105MM AND 120MM: ALL TYPES		101,900		101,900		101,900				
	ARTILLERY AMMUNITION										
13	CTG. ARTY. 75MM AND 105MM: ALL TYPES		37,455		37,455		37,455				
14	ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES		47,023		47,023		47,023				
15	PROJ. 155MM EXTENDED RANGE XM982	416	35,672	416	35,672	416	35,672				
16	ARTILLERY PROPELLANTS, FUZES AND PRIMERS, ALL TYPES		94,010		74,010		78,010			-16,000	+4,000
	ROCKETS										
19	SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES		945		945		945				
20	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES		27,286		27,286		27,286				
	OTHER AMMUNITION										
21	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES		22,899		22,899		22,899				
22	GRENADES, ALL TYPES		22,751		22,751		22,751				
23	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES		7,082		7,082		7,082				
24	SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES		11,638		11,638		11,638				

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
16	Artillery Propellants, Fuzes and Primers, All	94,010	78,010	- 16,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Precision Guidance Kit schedule slip	- 16,000

OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2014	\$4,936,908,000
Budget estimate, 2015	4,893,634,000
House allowance	4,812,234,000
Committee recommendation	4,449,383,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,449,383,000. This is \$444,251,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(Dollars in thousands)

Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		House estimate
							Budget estimate	Qty.	
OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY									
TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VE-HICLES									
TACTICAL VEHICLES									
1 TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS		7,987		7,987		6,416		-1,571	-1,571
2 SEMITRAILERS, FLATBED		160		160		160			
5 FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV)				50,000		250,000		+200,000	+200,000
4 JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE	176	164,615	176	164,615	176	164,615			
6 FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT	19	8,415	19	8,415	19	8,415			
7 FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (FHTV)	444	28,425	444	28,425	444	28,425			-50,000
8 PLS ESP	198	89,263	198	89,263	198	89,263			
13 TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLE PROTECTION KITS	735	38,226	735	38,226	735	38,226			
14 MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP	768	91,173	701	83,173		21,173		-701	-62,000
15 MINE-RESISTANT AMBUSH-PROTECTED MODS	1	14,731	1	14,731	1	14,731			
NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES									
16 HEAVY ARMORED SEDAN	1	175	1	175				-1	-175
17 PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	25	1,338	25	1,338	16	803		-9	-535
18 NONTACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER		11,101		11,101		11,101			
TOTAL, TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VE-HICLES		455,609		547,609		633,328		+177,719	+85,719
COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT									
COMM—JOINT COMMUNICATIONS									
19 WIN-T—GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK	1,280	763,087	1,114	664,087	1,194	555,087		-86	-109,000
20 SIGNAL MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	69	21,157	69	21,157	69	21,157			
21 JOINT INCIDENT SITE COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITY		7,915		7,915		7,915			
22 JCSE EQUIPMENT (USREDCOM)		5,440		5,440		3,540		-1,900	-1,900
23 COMM—SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS DEFENSE ENTERPRISE WIDEBAND SATCOM SYSTEMS	18	118,085	18	118,085	18	118,085			

[Dollars in thousands]

Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		House estimate
							Budget estimate	Qty.	
24 TRANSPORTABLE TACTICAL COMMAND COMMUNICATIONS	21	13,999	21	13,999		1,999	-21	-12,000	-12,000
25 SHF TERM		6,494		6,494		6,494			
26 NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE)		1,635		1,635		1,635			
27 SMART-T (SPACE)		13,554		13,554		11,454		-2,100	-2,100
28 GLOBAL BROADCAST SVC—GBS		18,899		18,899		18,899			
29 MOD OF IN—SVC EQUIP (TAC SAT)		2,849		2,849		2,849			
COMM—C3 SYSTEM									
COMM—COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS									
30 ENROUTE MISSION COMMAND (EMC)		100,000		80,000		100,000			+20,000
33 JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM	2,674	175,711	1,913	125,711		40,711	-2,674	-135,000	-85,000
34 MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO [MNVIR]		9,692		4,692		1,692		-8,000	-3,000
35 RADIO TERMINAL SET, MIDS LVT(2)	620	17,136	620	17,136		15,698		-1,438	-1,438
37 AMC CRITICAL ITEMS—OPAZ	3,081	22,099	3,081	22,099		22,099			
38 TRACTOR DESK		3,724		3,724		3,724			
39 SPIDER APLA REMOTE CONTROL UNIT		969		969		969			
40 SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM COMMELEC-TRONICS		294		294		294			
41 TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS AND PROTECTIVE SYSTEM	8,344	24,354	8,344	24,354		22,654		-1,700	-1,700
42 UNIFIED COMMAND SUITE		17,445		17,445		17,445			
43 RADIO, IMPROVED HF (GOTS) FAMILY		1,028		1,028		1,028			
44 FAMILY OF MED COMM FOR COMBAT CASUALTY CARE	974	22,614	974	22,614		22,614			
COMM—INTELLIGENCE COMM									
46 CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE [IMP]		1,519		1,519		1,519			
47 RESERVE CA/MISO GPF EQUIPMENT	305	12,478	305	12,478		6,078	-155	-6,400	-6,400
50 INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM—ISSP		2,113		2,113					
51 COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY [COMSEC]	2,750	69,646	2,750	69,646		69,646		-2,113	-2,113

(Dollars in thousands)

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
								Budget estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate	Qty.
147	COMPACTOR	617	4,348	617	4,348	617	4,348				
148	HYDRAULIC EXCAVATOR	14	4,938	14	4,938	14	4,938				
149	TRACTOR, FULL TRACKED	95	34,071	95	34,071	95	34,071				
150	ALL TERRAIN CRANES	4	4,938	4	4,938	4	4,938				
151	PLANT, ASPHALT MIXING		667		667		667				
153	ENHANCED RAPID AIRFIELD CONSTRUCTION CAPAP		14,924		14,924		14,924				
154	CONST EQUIP ESP	79	15,933	79	15,933	79	15,933				
155	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CONST EQUIP)	53	6,749	53	6,749	53	6,749				
156	RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION EQUIPMENT										
157	ARMY WATERCRAFT ESP		10,509		10,509			-10,509			-10,509
	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (FLOAT/RAIL)		2,166		2,166		2,166				
158	GENERATORS GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT	3,882	115,190	3,882	105,190	3,882	115,190				+10,000
160	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT FAMILY OF FORKLIFTS	146	14,327	146	14,327	146	14,327				
161	TRAINING EQUIPMENT COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT	1	65,062	1	65,062	1	65,062				
162	TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM	43	101,295	43	106,295	43	101,295				-5,000
163	CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER		13,406		13,406		13,406				
164	AVIATION COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (AVCA)		14,440		14,440		10,040			-4,400	-4,400
165	GAMING TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPORT OF ARMY TRAINING		10,165		10,165		10,165				
166	TEST MEASURE AND DIG EQUIPMENT [TMD] CALIBRATION SETS EQUIPMENT		5,726		5,726		5,726				
167	INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT [IFTE]	1,657	37,482	1,657	37,482	1,657	37,482				
168	TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION [TEMOD]	415	16,061	415	16,061	337	13,061		-78	-3,000	-3,000
170	OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		2,380		2,380					-2,380	-2,380
171	PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPAS)		30,686		30,686		30,686				

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Tactical Trailers/Dolly Sets	7,987	6,416	- 1,571
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Reduce by 57 for program delay			- 1,571
5	Family of Medium Tactical Veh [FMTV]		250,000	+ 250,000
	Program increase			+ 250,000
14	Modification of In Svc Equip	91,173	21,173	- 70,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay			- 70,000
16	Heavy Armored Sedan	175		- 175
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances			- 175
17	Passenger Carrying Vehicles	1,338	803	- 535
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances			- 535
19	Win-T—Ground Forces Tactical Network	763,087	555,087	- 208,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay			- 208,000
22	JCSE Equipment (USREDCOM)	5,440	3,540	- 1,900
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances			- 1,900
24	Transportable Tactical Command Communications	13,999	1,999	- 12,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay			- 12,000
27	Smart-T (SPACE)	13,554	11,454	- 2,100
	Improving funds management: Forward financing—engineering support			- 2,100
33	Joint Tactical Radio System	175,711	40,711	- 135,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay—Rifleman radio			- 21,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay—Manpack			- 114,000
34	Mid-Tier Networking Vehicular Radio [MNVR]	9,692	1,692	- 8,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay			- 8,000
35	Radio Terminal Set, Mids Lvt(2)	17,136	15,698	- 1,438
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances			- 1,438
41	Tactical Communications and Protective System	24,354	22,654	- 1,700
	Improving funds management: Forward financing			- 1,700
47	Army CA/MISO GPF Equipment	12,478	6,078	- 6,400
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances			- 6,400
50	Information System Security Program—ISSP	2,113		- 2,113
	Budget documentation disparity: Unjustified request			- 2,113
54	Defense Message System [DMS]	246		- 246
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances			- 246
76	Night Vision Devices	160,901	138,601	- 22,300
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay—Laser target locator module			- 22,300
81	Family of Weapon Sights [FWS]	49,205	26,805	- 22,400
	Restoring acquisition accountability: No procurement funds needed prior to Milestone C			- 22,400
85	Joint Battle Command—Platform [JBC-P]	97,892	87,892	- 10,000
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances			- 10,000
86	Joint Effects Targeting System [JETS]	27,450		- 27,450
	Restore acquisition accountability: Optimistic schedule			- 27,450
89	Counterfire Radars	209,050	128,650	- 80,400
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Excessive LRIP and concurrency			- 80,400
102	Integrated Personnel and Pay System—Army	32,970		- 32,970
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay			- 32,970
104	Reconnaissance and Surveying Instrument Set	10,113	5,413	- 4,700
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances			- 4,700
106	Automated Data Processing Equip	155,223	152,282	- 2,941
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay—Army Contract Writing System			- 2,941
	Classified Programs	3,707	3,585	- 122
	Classified adjustment			- 122

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
123	Robotic Combat Support System [RCSS]	4,701	-4,701
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Wait on outcome of Analysis of Alternatives	-4,701
127	< \$5m, Countermine Equipment	4,938	938	-4,000
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances	-4,000
131	Personnel Recovery Support System [PRSS]	16,728	10,728	-6,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Optimistic schedule	-6,000
132	Ground Soldier System	84,761	67,961	-16,800
	Improving funds management: Excess to need	-16,800
141	Combat Support Medical	46,957	30,957	-16,000
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances	-16,000
156	Army Watercraft Esp	10,509	-10,509
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay	-10,509
164	Aviation Combined Arms Tactical Trainer	14,440	10,040	-4,400
	Maintain program affordability: Engineering change proposals excess to need	-4,400
168	Test Equipment Modernization [TEMOD]	16,061	13,061	-3,000
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances	-3,000
170	Rapid Equipping Soldier Support Equipment	2,380	-2,380
	Transfer to Title IX	-2,380
173	Modification of In-Svc Equipment (OPA-3)	98,559	80,559	-18,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Watercraft C4ISR early to need	-18,000
180	Initial Spares—C&E	50,032	36,032	-14,000
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances	-14,000
	HMMWV Mod Program	60,000	+60,000
	Program increase: HMMWV Ambulance Replacement	+60,000

Family of Medium Tactical Vehicles and the Army Budgeting Process.—The fiscal year 2015 base budget request includes no funds in fiscal years 2015 and 2016 for the procurement of the Family of Medium Tactical Vehicles [FMTV] but carries funding in fiscal year 2017 and 2018 to resume production and eventually meet the Army's acquisition objective. The Committee notes that the lack of funding in fiscal years 2015 and 2016 will shutdown FMTV production for 2 years and will negatively impact the industrial base, resulting in higher acquisition costs when the Army restarts procurement in fiscal year 2017 as planned. The Committee understands that fiscal constraints force difficult trade-offs; however, during its budget review, the Committee identified over \$750,000,000 in poorly budgeted funds within the Other Procurement, Army account. Had the Army performed a similarly rigorous budget review, these excess funds could have been used to address not only the shortfall in FMTV, but other programs as well. To avoid a production shut down and expensive restart costs, the Committee recommends an additional \$250,000,000 for FMTVs.

The Committee understands that the Army budget is assembled by specific mission areas, and that each mission area receives an allocation of funds to spread across its requirements. The Committee notes that as a result, a requirement in one mission area may receive funding, while a requirement in a different area, such as FMTVs, remains unfunded, even if the FMTV is a higher overall priority within the Army than the funded requirement. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to work with the other Services to review those Service's budgeting processes and to use this information to create an Army budgeting process that al-

lows improved prioritization of requirements so that priority programs do not go unfunded. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to report to the congressional defense committees 180 days after the enactment of this act on the findings of this review.

Joint Tactical Radio System.—The Committee commends the Army on implementing an acquisition strategy for Manpack and Rifleman radio procurement that ensures technical and price competition over the next 5 years. However, this strategy revision has taken a significant amount of time to implement and has left \$438,000,000 of previously appropriated funds unobligated, of which \$67,000,000 was offered by the Department of Defense [DOD] for rescission. Due to contract award delays, the Committee recommends an additional rescission of \$103,000,000 and urges the Army to use the remaining unobligated funds to modernize equipment for the “Army of 2020” with the latest in communications and networking capabilities.

High Mobility Multi-Purpose Wheeled Vehicle [HMMWV] Ambulance.—The Committee recognizes the continued value of the HMMWV ambulance, which is tasked to respond to contingency operations, domestic emergencies, and humanitarian assistance requests. The Committee also recognizes that the Army National Guard and the Army Reserve have identified significant shortfalls in HMMWV ambulances which hinder training and operational capabilities. Therefore, the Committee provides \$60,000,000 for procurement of HMMWV ambulances.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2014	\$16,442,794,000
Budget estimate, 2015	13,074,317,000
House allowance	14,054,523,000
Committee recommendation	13,960,270,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$13,960,270,000. This is \$885,953,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		House estimate
								Budget estimate	Qty.	
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY										
1	COMBAT AIRCRAFT									
	EA-18G	12	43,547	12	1,018,547	12	1,343,547	+ 1,300,000		+ 325,000
5	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER	4	610,652	4	865,652	2	593,552	- 17,100		- 272,100
6	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER [AP-CY]		29,400		29,400		29,400			
7	JSF STOVL	6	1,200,410	6	1,200,410	6	1,143,610	- 56,800		- 56,800
8	JSF STOVL [AP-CY]		143,885		143,885		143,885			
9	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT)	19	1,487,000	19	1,481,100	19	1,487,000			+ 5,900
10	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) [AP-CY]		45,920		45,920		45,920			
11	UH-1Y/AH-1Z	26	778,757	27	809,057	26	778,757			- 30,300
12	UH-1Y/AH-1Z [AP-CY]		80,976		63,354		75,626	- 5,300		+ 12,272
13	MH-60S [MP]	8	210,209	8	188,440	8	203,909	- 6,300		+ 15,469
15	MH-60R	29	933,882	29	870,101	29	913,882	- 20,000		+ 43,781
16	MH-60R [AP-CY]		106,686		106,686		106,686			
17	P-8A POSEIDON	8	2,003,327	9	2,128,787	8	1,985,927	- 17,400		- 142,860
18	P-8A POSEIDON [AP-CY]		48,457		48,457		48,457			
19	E-2D ADV HAWKEYE	4	819,870	5	902,271	4	819,870			- 82,401
20	E-2D ADV HAWKEYE [AP-CY]		225,765		178,488		225,765			+ 47,277
	TOTAL, COMBAT AIRCRAFT		8,768,693		10,080,555		9,945,793	+ 1,177,100		- 134,762
OTHER AIRCRAFT										
23	KC-130J	1	92,290	1	92,290	1	92,290			
26	MQ-4 TRITON [AP-CY]		37,445		67,670		40,663	- 37,445		- 67,670
27	MQ-8 UAV		40,663		39,663		40,663			+ 1,000
	TOTAL, OTHER AIRCRAFT		170,398		199,623		132,953	- 37,445		- 66,670
MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT										
29	EA-6 SERIES		10,993		10,993		10,993			
30	AEA SYSTEMS		34,768		44,768		34,768			- 10,000
31	AV-8 SERIES		65,472		57,972		65,472			+ 7,500
32	ADVERSARY		8,418		8,418		8,418			- 3,500
33	F-18 SERIES		679,177		636,030		750,177	- 3,500		- 114,147
34	H-46 SERIES		480		480		480	+ 71,000		+ 114,147

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
								Budget estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate	Qty.
36	H-53 SERIES	38,159	36,619	38,159	+1,540
37	SH-60 SERIES	108,850	101,064	108,850	+7,786
38	H-1 SERIES	45,033	42,273	45,033	+2,760
39	EP-3 SERIES	32,890	32,890	32,890
40	P-3 SERIES	2,823	2,823	2,823
41	E-2 SERIES	21,208	21,208	21,208
42	TRAINER A/C SERIES	12,608	12,608	12,608
44	C-130 SERIES	40,378	35,522	40,378	+4,856
45	FVMSG	640	640	640
46	CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES	4,635	4,035	4,635	+600
47	E-6 SERIES	212,876	193,006	212,876	+19,870
48	EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES	71,328	68,128	71,328	+3,200
49	SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT	21,317	21,317	21,317
50	T-45 SERIES	90,052	90,052	90,052
51	POWER PLANT CHANGES	19,094	19,094	19,094
52	JPATS SERIES	1,085	1,085	1,085
54	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT	155,644	144,427	155,644	+11,217
55	COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES	157,531	157,531	153,067	-4,464	-4,464
56	COMMON DEFENSIVE WEAPON SYSTEM	1,958	1,958	1,958
57	ID SYSTEMS	38,880	38,880	38,880
58	P-8 SERIES	29,797	29,797	29,797
59	MAGTF EW FOR AVIATION	14,770	14,770	14,770
60	MQ-8 SERIES	8,741	8,741	8,741
61	RQ-7 SERIES	2,542	2,542	-2,542	-2,542
62	V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY	135,584	128,428	135,584	+7,156
63	F-35 STOVL SERIES	285,968	209,877	205,507	-4,370
64	F-35 CV SERIES	20,502	20,051	1,367	-18,684
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT	2,374,201	2,198,027	2,335,099	+137,072
65	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	1,229,651	1,064,811	1,054,651	-10,160
66	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES	418,355	398,488	384,855	-13,633

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	EA-18G	43,547	1,343,547	+ 1,300,000
	Program increase: 12 EA-18G aircraft			+ 1,200,000
	Program increase: Extend fiscal year 2014 production			+ 100,000
5	Joint Strike Fighter CV	610,652	593,552	- 17,100
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Airframe anticipated negotiations savings			- 17,100
7	JSF STOVL	1,200,410	1,143,610	- 56,800
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Airframe anticipated negotiations savings			- 56,800
12	H-1 Upgrades (UH-1Y/AH-1Z) Advance Procurement	80,926	75,626	- 5,300
	Maintain program affordability: Advance procurement efficiencies			- 5,300
13	MH-60S [MYP]	210,209	203,909	- 6,300
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unjustified unit cost growth			- 5,100
	Maintain program affordability: Engineering change order funds excess to need			- 1,200
15	MH-60R [MYP]	933,882	913,882	- 20,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Production line shut down early to need			- 20,000
17	P-8A Poseidon	2,003,327	1,985,927	- 17,400
	Maintain program affordability: Anticipated unit price savings			- 11,300
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth—production engineering support			- 6,100
26	MQ-4 TRITON Advance Procurement	37,445		- 37,445
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Reduce concurrency			- 37,445
32	Adversary	8,418	4,918	- 3,500
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances			- 3,500
33	F-18 Series	679,177	750,177	+ 71,000
	Program increase: Marine Corps F-18 Improvements			+ 71,000
55	Common Avionics Changes	157,531	153,067	- 4,464
	Improving funds management: Global Positioning System A-kits early-to-need			- 4,464
61	RQ-7 Series	2,542		- 2,542
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances			- 2,542
63	F-35 STOVL Series	285,968	205,507	- 80,461
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances			- 80,461
64	F-35 CV Series	20,502	1,367	- 19,135
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances			- 19,135
65	Spares and Repair Parts	1,229,651	1,054,651	- 175,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Unjustified increase—spares for aviation outfitting account repairables			- 175,000
66	Common Ground Equipment	418,355	384,855	- 33,500
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances			- 33,500
70	Special Support Equipment	65,839	60,339	- 5,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			- 5,500
71	First Destination Transportation	1,768	1,168	- 600
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances			- 600

EA-18G Aircraft.—Airborne electronic attack [AEA] is a capability that has proven critical in recent military engagements and for which there will be growing demand in the future. As the primary air platform performing this mission for the Navy, the EA-18G has proven itself to be a key component to the success of the carrier air wing. The Committee is concerned that the fiscal year 2015 budget request does not include any funding for the EA-18G

aircraft even though Navy leadership has publicly expressed their support for continued procurement into 2016. Therefore, the Committee adds \$1,200,000,000 for an additional 12 aircraft, and \$100,000,000 with direction to the Navy to extend current production to a minimum production rate of two aircraft per month.

MH-60R Seahawk Procurement.—The Committee is aware that the Navy is considering breaching the joint-service H-60 multi-year procurement contract by not procuring the final 29 aircraft in fiscal year 2016. Because this is a joint-service multi-year contract, the Army will be forced to renegotiate the contract, which will delay deliveries and increase unit costs. The Committee believes the Navy will face significant termination costs that will consume much of the projected savings from truncating the program. The Committee understands that these aircraft were to be assigned to the USS *George Washington* and that if this aircraft carrier were to be retired, the 29 MH-60R helicopters would be excess. In light of the Committee’s support for the overhaul of this aircraft carrier, the fiscal year 2015 advance procurement for these aircraft is fully funded. The Committee directs the Navy to review the total MH-60R requirement and urges restoral of the 29 aircraft to the fiscal year 2016 budget request.

MQ-4 Triton Unmanned Aerial Vehicle.—The fiscal year 2015 President’s budget request includes \$37,445,000 for advance procurement of the first production Triton UAV. Due to the deferment of critical mission capabilities into future software blocks within the development program, as discussed elsewhere in this report, it is premature to begin procurement of the Triton aircraft in fiscal year 2015. Therefore, the Committee recommends no funding for advance procurement.

WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2014	\$3,009,157,000
Budget estimate, 2015	3,217,945,000
House allowance	3,111,931,000
Committee recommendation	3,263,794,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,263,794,000. This is \$45,849,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		House estimate
								Budget estimate	Qty.	
	WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY									
	BALLISTIC MISSILES									
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES									
1	TRIDENT II MODS		1,190,455		1,166,948		1,185,455		-5,000	+18,507
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES									
2	MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		5,671		5,671		5,671			
	TOTAL, BALLISTIC MISSILES		1,196,126		1,172,619		1,191,126		-5,000	+18,507
	OTHER MISSILES									
	STRATEGIC MISSILES									
3	TOMAHAWK	100	194,258	196	271,958	100	276,258		+82,000	+4,300
	TACTICAL MISSILES									
4	AMRAAM		32,165				32,165			+32,165
5	SIDEWINDER	167	73,928	167	68,248		71,878			+3,630
6	JSOW	200	130,759	200	108,159	200	128,200		-2,050	+20,041
7	STANDARD MISSILE	110	445,836	110	434,836	110	436,498		-2,559	+1,662
8	RAM	90	80,792	90	80,792	90	70,829		-9,963	-9,963
11	STAND OFF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITION	14	1,810	14	1,810	14	1,810			
12	AERIAL TARGETS		48,046		45,683		48,046			+2,363
13	OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT		3,295		3,295		3,295			
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES									
14	ESSM	104	119,434	104	114,434	104	119,434			+5,000
15	HARM MODS		111,739		106,489		106,431		-5,308	-58
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES									
16	WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		2,531		2,531		2,531			
17	FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON		208,700		206,700		208,700			+2,000
	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT									
18	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		73,211		73,211		73,211			
	TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES		1,526,504		1,518,146		1,579,286		+52,782	+61,140

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Trident II Mods	1,190,455	1,185,455	- 5,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			- 5,000
3	Tomahawk	194,258	276,258	+ 82,000
	Program increase			+ 82,000
5	Sidewinder	73,928	71,878	- 2,050
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			- 2,050
6	JSOW	130,759	128,200	- 2,559
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			- 2,559
7	Standard Missile	445,836	436,498	- 9,338
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			- 9,338
8	RAM	80,792	70,829	- 9,963
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost efficiencies			- 9,963
15	Harm Mods	111,739	106,431	- 5,308
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			- 5,308
23	MK-48 Torpedo ADCAP Mods	46,893	44,960	- 1,933
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			- 1,933

MK 45 Gun Mount Industrial Base.—The Committee understands that no order has been placed in 2 years for naval gun mount modernization. As a result, a significant amount of previously appropriated funds made available for modernization of MK 45 gun mounts remain unobligated. The delays and fluctuation in work increases price and performance risk, and is also harmful to the manufacturer and supplier industrial base. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Navy to utilize available funds to put on contract four MK 45 gun mount mods. Guns would be provided for two DDG upgrades and two CG upgrades.

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2014	\$549,316,000
Budget estimate, 2015	771,945,000
House allowance	629,372,000
Committee recommendation	754,845,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$754,845,000. This is \$17,100,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		House estimate
								Budget estimate	Qty.	
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS									
	PROC AMMO, NAVY									
	NAVY AMMUNITION									
1	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS		107,069		70,700		107,069			+ 36,369
2	AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES		70,396		67,416		70,396			+ 2,980
3	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION		20,284		20,284		20,284			
4	PRACTICE BOMBS		26,701		26,701		26,701			
5	CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES		53,866		50,866		53,866			+ 3,000
6	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES		59,294		59,294		59,294			
7	JATOS		2,766		2,766		2,766			+ 2,766
8	LRLAP		113,092		113,092		113,092			
9	5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION		35,702		35,702		35,702			
10	INTERMEDIATE CALIBER GUN AMMUNITION		36,475		2,133		19,375			+ 17,242
11	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION		43,906		30,116		43,906			+ 13,790
12	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO		51,535		50,535		51,535			+ 1,000
13	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION		11,652		11,652		11,652			
14	AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		4,473		1,507		4,473			+ 2,966
	TOTAL, PROC AMMO, NAVY		637,211		539,998		620,111			+ 80,113
	PROC AMMO, MARINE CORPS									
	MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION									
15	SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION		31,708		31,708		31,708			
16	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES		692		692		692			
17	40 MM, ALL TYPES		13,630		6,965		13,630			+ 6,665
18	60MM, ALL TYPES		2,261		2,261		2,261			
19	81MM, ALL TYPES		1,496		1,496		1,496			
20	120MM, ALL TYPES		14,855		7,144		14,855			+ 7,711
22	GRENADES, ALL TYPES		4,000		3,000		4,000			+ 1,000
23	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES		16,853		545		16,853			+ 16,308
24	ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES		14,772		12,068		14,772			+ 2,704
26	FUZE, ALL TYPES		9,972				9,972			+ 9,972
27	NON LETHALS		998		998		998			

[Dollars in thousands]

Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
							Budget estimate	House estimate	Budget estimate	House estimate
28 AMMO MODERNIZATION	12,319	11,319	12,319
29 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	11,178	11,178	11,178	+ 1,000
TOTAL, PROC AMMO, MARINE CORPS	134,734	89,374	134,734	+ 45,360
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS	771,945	629,372	754,845	- 17,100	+ 125,473

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
10	Intermediate Caliber Gun Ammunition	36,475	19,375	- 17,100
	Restoring acquisition accountability: MK-296 contract delay	- 17,100

SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION, NAVY

Appropriations, 2014	\$15,231,364,000
Budget estimate, 2015	14,400,625,000
House allowance	14,256,361,000
Committee recommendation	15,895,770,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$15,895,770,000. This is \$1,495,145,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(Dollars in thousands)

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
								Budget estimate	House estimate	Qty.	House estimate
	SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY										
	OTHER WARSHIPS										
1	CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM		1,300,000		1,289,425		1,230,000				- 59,425
2	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE	2	3,553,254	2	3,507,175		3,553,254				+ 46,079
3	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE (AP-CY)		2,330,325		2,301,825		2,330,325				+ 28,500
	CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS (AP-CY)				491,100		483,600				- 7,500
6	DDG 1000		419,532		419,532		419,532				
7	DDG-51	2	2,671,415	2	2,655,785		2,671,415				+ 15,630
8	DDG-51 (AP-CY)		134,039		134,039		134,039				
9	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP	3	1,427,049	2	951,366		1,507,049				+ 555,683
	TOTAL, OTHER WARSHIPS		11,835,614		11,750,247		12,329,214				+ 578,967
	AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS										
10	LPD-17		12,565		12,565	1	800,000				+ 787,435
14	LHA REPLACEMENT (AP-CY)		29,093		29,093		29,093				
15	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL		4,590			1	200,000				+ 200,000
	TOTAL, AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS		46,248		41,658		1,029,093				+ 987,435
	AUXILIARIES, CRAFT, AND PRIOR-YEAR PROGRAM COSTS										
16	MOORED TRAINING SHIP	1	737,268	1	737,268	1	737,268				
17	MOORED TRAINING SHIP (AP)		64,388		64,388		64,388				
18	OUTFITTING		546,104		491,797		503,804				+ 12,007
19	SHIP TO SHORE CONNECTOR	2	123,233	2	123,233	3	184,233				+ 61,000
20	LCAC SLEP	2	40,485	2	40,485	2	40,485				
21	COMPLETION OF PY SHIPBUILDING PROGRAMS		1,007,285		1,007,285		1,007,285				
	TOTAL, AUXILIARIES, CRAFT, AND PRIOR-YEAR PROGRAM		2,518,763		2,464,456		2,537,463				+ 73,007
	TOTAL SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY		14,400,625		14,256,361		15,895,770				+ 1,639,409

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Carrier Replacement Program	1,300,000	1,230,000	- 70,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Contracting efficiencies			- 70,000
5	CVN Refueling Overhauls		483,600	+ 483,600
	Program increase: CVN-73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul			+ 483,600
9	Littoral Combat Ship	1,427,049	1,507,049	+ 80,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Long lead time materials			+ 80,000
10	LPD-17	12,565	800,000	+ 787,435
	Improving funds management: Program closeout ahead of need			- 12,565
	LPD-17			+ 800,000
15	Joint High Speed Vessel	4,590	200,000	+ 195,410
	Improving funds management: Program closeout ahead of need			- 4,590
	Joint High Speed Vessel			+ 200,000
18	Outfitting	546,104	503,804	- 42,300
	Improving funds management: Early to need			- 25,000
	Outfitting and Post Delivery—Transfer to NDSF for execution			- 17,300
19	Ship to Shore Connector	123,233	184,233	+ 61,000
	SSC—Transfer from Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy for operational craft			+ 61,000

Shipbuilding Industrial Base and Workload Allocation.—The Committee remains concerned generally about the overall health of the shipbuilding industrial base and specifically about the health of the non-nuclear surface combatant shipbuilding industry. The Committee reiterates its commitment to the goal of reducing costs and increasing value in the shipbuilding program and believes that cooperative workload allocation agreements between the Navy and industry may provide an alternative method to obtain efficiency and economies in Navy ship design and construction with the goals of closing the shortfalls in the fleet and retain the shipbuilding industrial base needed for future military requirements. Therefore, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to engage industry in discussions on future shipbuilding workload distribution and methods to ensure the viability of the non-nuclear shipyards over the long term.

For instance, when the LPD-17 program was experiencing significant production issues, the Department of the Navy entered into a workload agreement, “Memorandum of Understanding Concerning the Reallocation of LPD-17 and DDG-51 Ship Construction Workload” (SWAP 1), with shipbuilders on June 17, 2002. The purpose of the agreement was to reallocate workload to ensure “stability at both yards, stabilize and reduce total projected shipbuilding costs for the LPD-17 Program, and maintain properly balanced sources of supply for future Navy surface combatant shipbuilding”. The agreement also requires the Navy to award a compensatory DDG-51 or equivalent workload if the Navy awards a shipbuilding contract for LPD 28. The Committee understands that

the Navy considers this agreement to remain in full force and effect, and that the Navy will engage with shipbuilders involved in the agreement to discuss workload distribution. While Congress is not a party to this agreement, the Committee directs the Navy to submit a report to the congressional defense committees no later than March 1, 2015, on the Navy's options and potential courses of action to fulfill the requirements of the SWAP 1 agreement preceding or concurrent with when LPD 28 is placed under contract. The report should also address strategies to ensure the viability and stability of the non-nuclear shipyards over the long term to preserve the defense maritime industrial base and achieve the highest level of performance and quality from the shipbuilders.

CVN 79.—The Committee understands that in light of significant cost overruns on CVN 78, USS *Ford*, the Navy is working diligently to reduce costs of the follow-on ship of the class, CVN 79, USS *Kennedy*. The Committee further understands that this includes innovative contracting strategies leading to greater competition. The Committee commends the Navy for this approach and believes that additional savings could be achieved as a result of increased competitive acquisition strategies.

DDG 1000 Destroyer.—The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$419,532,000 for the DDG 1000 program, an increase of \$187,838,000 over amounts appropriated in fiscal year 2014. The Committee notes that the fiscal year 2015 request is \$98,200,000 above the projected amount required for fiscal year 2015 during last year's budget submission and that in total, the Navy has added \$451,900,000 from fiscal years 2015 to 2017 to the DDG 1000 program in the fiscal year 2015 budget submission. The Committee understands that these increases are attributed to the fact that the Navy had not previously funded the program to the cost estimate provided by the Director, Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation [CAPE]. The Committee further understands that the Navy does not plan to budget to the CAPE estimate in fiscal year 2016.

The Committee notes that the DDG 1000 program breached Nunn-McCurdy cost caps in 2010 and was re-certified by the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics on June 1, 2010. The recertification found that the primary root cause for the Nunn-McCurdy breach was the reduction in quantity from ten ships to three ships. The Committee further notes that subsequent to the Nunn-McCurdy recertification, Congress has fully funded annual budget requests, supported multiple above threshold reprogrammings, provided Special Transfer Authority, supported changes to the acquisition strategy, and supported a litigation settlement that provided \$198,000,000 to the DDG 1000 program.

In the fiscal year 2015 budget submission, the Navy's estimated procurement cost for the three DDG 1000 ships of the class is \$12,069,400,000, an increase of 34.4 percent since fiscal year 2009, when the third ship of the class was procured. Given the steadily rising costs of the DDG 1000 program since authorization and appropriation of the third and final ship of the class, the Committee believes the Navy and the congressional defense committees would be well-served with an updated Independent Cost Estimate. Therefore, the Committee directs the Director, CAPE, to provide with the

fiscal year 2016 budget submission an updated Independent Cost Estimate for the DDG 1000 program.

Littoral Combat Ship [LCS].—The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes full funding for the procurement of three Littoral Combat Ships [LCS], a reduction of one ship compared to the prior year budget request. The Committee notes that the Navy agreed to a block buy contract for 20 LCS in fiscal year 2010, ramping two shipyards up to a rate of two LCS per year beginning in fiscal year 2012, and that the Navy had planned on procuring the last four ships of that block buy in fiscal year 2015. The fiscal year 2015 budget submission does not support that plan. The Committee understands that the Navy now plans to extend the block buy contract into fiscal year 2016, and that to preserve block buy pricing additional funds for the acquisition of long lead materials are required in fiscal year 2015. Therefore, the Committee recommends \$80,000,000 only for preservation of block buy pricing. The Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Research, Development and Acquisition is directed to provide the congressional defense committees an update on the Navy's acquisition strategy for LCS prior to the obligation of these funds.

Amphibious Warships.—According to section 123 of S. 2410, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, as reported, the Secretary of the Navy is authorized to incrementally fund an additional LPD-17 *San Antonio*-class amphibious ship. The Committee continues to be concerned about the level of risk being assumed with amphibious lift capability. Therefore, to help address the amphibious lift shortfall that exists today, the Committee recommendation provides \$800,000,000 to incrementally fund an additional LPD-17 class amphibious ship.

Joint High Speed Vessel [JHSV].—The Department of the Navy is procuring JHSVs for fast intra-theater transportation of troops, military vehicles and equipment. With submission of the fiscal year 2013 budget, the Navy assumed risk with the overall JHSV requirement and reduced the program procurement objective from 18 to 10 ships. Under this plan, the final ship would be purchased with fiscal year 2013 funding. However, based on the ability of the JHSV to support all branches of the military services, provide intra-theater sealift, operate in littoral environments and austere port environments, and support humanitarian/disaster relief activities, the Committee supports procuring additional JHSVs to address the original requirement. Further, the Committee notes that one JHSV is being used as an experimental test platform for Navy technology projects. Therefore, the Committee recommends \$200,000,000 to procure one JHSV in fiscal year 2015.

Polar-Class Icebreakers.—The Committee notes that since 2006, the United States has been operating a *Polar*-class icebreaker fleet consisting of one heavy and one medium vessel. The Committee understands that this falls short of U.S. requirements. While the United States has deferred investment in its polar fleet, other nations such as China and Russia are pressing ahead to develop robust icebreaking capability, allowing them to pursue their national interests in the polar regions. The Committee supports the inter-agency process to develop requirements for a new *Polar*-class icebreaker or similarly Arctic-capable surface vessel, recognizing the

strategic importance of Arctic operations to our Nation's future security and prosperity. Therefore, the Committee directs the Deputy Secretary of Defense, in cooperation with the Secretary of the Navy and the Commandant of the United States Coast Guard, to provide the congressional defense committees a plan to begin expanding U.S. icebreaking capacity that will result in the approval of an operational requirements document no later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this act.

OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2014	\$5,572,618,000
Budget estimate, 2015	5,975,828,000
House allowance	5,923,379,000
Committee recommendation	6,060,433,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,060,433,000. This is \$84,605,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	House recommendation		Committee recommendation	Change from Budget estimate		Change from House estimate	
				Qty.			Qty.		Qty.	
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY									
	SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT									
	SHIP PROPULSION EQUIPMENT									
1	LW-2500 GAS TURBINE		7,822	7,822	7,822	7,822				
2	ALLISON 501K GAS TURBINE		2,155	2,155	2,155	2,155				
3	HYBRID ELECTRIC DRIVE (HED)		22,704	19,278	16,064	16,064	-6,640	-6,640	-3,214	
	GENERATORS									
4	SURFACE COMBATANT HM&E		29,120	26,664	29,120	29,120				+2,456
	NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT									
5	OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT		45,431	44,311	39,881	39,881	-5,550	-5,550	-4,430	
	PERISCOPES									
6	SUB PERISCOPES & IMAGING EQUIP		60,970	57,221	52,603	52,603	-8,367	-8,367	-4,618	
	OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT									
7	DDG MOD		338,569	324,219	338,569	338,569				+14,350
8	FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT		15,486	15,486	15,134	15,134	-352	-352	-352	
9	COMMAND AND CONTROL SWITCHBOARD		2,219	2,219	2,219	2,219				
10	LHA/LHD MIDLIFE		17,928	14,048	17,928	17,928				+3,880
11	LCC 19/20 EXTENDED SERVICE LIFE		22,025	22,025	22,025	22,025				
12	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT		12,607	10,146	12,607	12,607				+2,461
13	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		16,492	11,815	16,492	16,492				+4,677
14	VIRGINIA CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		74,129	70,689	74,129	74,129				+3,440
15	LCS CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		36,206	25,742	27,206	27,206	-9,000	-9,000	+1,464	
16	SUBMARINE BATTERIES		37,352	36,352	37,352	37,352				+1,000
17	LPD CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		49,095	44,562	44,052	44,052	-5,043	-5,043	-510	
18	DOG-1000 SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		2,996		2,996	2,996				+2,996
19	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP		11,558		11,558	11,558				
20	DSSP EQUIPMENT		5,518		5,518	5,518				
22	LOAC		7,158		7,158	7,158				
23	UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS		58,783	52,708	54,321	54,321	-4,462	-4,462	+1,613	
24	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		68,748	62,772	68,748	68,748				+5,976
25	CHEMICAL WARFARE DETECTORS		2,937	2,937	2,937	2,937				

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
								Budget estimate	House estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate
26	SUBMARINE LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEM		8,385		8,385		8,385				
	REACTOR PLANT EQUIPMENT				298,200		298,200				
27	REACTOR POWER UNITS				288,822		288,822				
28	REACTOR COMPONENTS		288,822						+298,200		
	OCEAN ENGINEERING				10,572		10,572				
29	DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT		10,572								
	SMALL BOATS				126,445		126,445				
30	STANDARD BOATS		129,784						-3,339		
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT				17,152		17,152				
31	OTHER SHIPS TRAINING EQUIPMENT		17,152								
	PRODUCTION FACILITIES EQUIPMENT				39,409		39,409				
32	OPERATING FORCES IPE		39,409								
	OTHER SHIP SUPPORT				118,129		118,129				
33	NUCLEAR ALTERATIONS		118,129								
34	LCS COMMON MISSION MODULES EQUIPMENT		37,413		31,317		33,438		-3,975		+2,121
35	LCS MCM MISSION MODULES		15,270		15,270		15,270				
36	LCS ASW MISSION MODULES		2,729				2,729				+2,729
37	LCS SUW MISSION MODULES		44,208		35,302		19,145		-25,063		-16,157
38	REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS)		42,276		42,276				-42,276		-42,276
	TOTAL, SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		1,702,157		1,908,684		1,886,290		+184,133		-22,394
	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT										
	SHIP SONARS										
40	SPQ-9B RADAR		28,007		26,735		28,007				+1,272
41	AN/SQQ-89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM		79,802		78,802		79,802				+1,000
42	SSN ACOUSTICS		165,655		160,932		165,655				+4,723
43	UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		9,487		4,663		9,487				+4,824
44	SONAR SWITCHES AND TRANSDUCERS		11,621		11,621		11,621				
	ASW ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT										
46	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM		24,221		22,721		24,221				+1,500

47	SSID	12,051	10,653	-1,398	-1,398
48	FIXED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	170,831	170,831		
49	SURTASS	9,619	9,619		
50	MARITIME PATROL AND RECONNAISSANCE FORCE	14,390	14,390		
51	ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT ANS/LO-32	195,002	195,196	-19,386	+194
52	RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT	124,862	124,862		+1,500
53	AUTOMATED IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM (AIS)	164	164		
54	SUBMARINE SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG	36,938	45,362		+8,424
55	OTHER SHIP ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY	33,939	33,939		
56	TRUSTED INFORMATION SYSTEM (TIS)	324	324		
57	NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM (INTCSS)	18,192	18,192		
58	ATDLS	16,768	16,768		
59	NAVY COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NCCS)	5,219	5,219		
60	MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT	40,499	41,482	-626	+983
62	NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS (SPACE)	15,232	15,232		
63	ARMED FORCES RADIO AND TV	4,524	4,524		
64	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP TRAINING EQUIPMENT	6,382	6,382		
65	OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT	44,058	42,810	-3,312	-1,248
66	AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT MATCALS	16,999	16,999		
67	SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	9,366	9,366		
68	AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM	21,357	21,357		
69	NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM	26,639	26,639		
70	FLEET AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEMS	9,214	9,214		
71	MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEM	13,902	13,902		
72	ID SYSTEMS	34,901	34,901		+6,358
73	TAC A/C MISSION PLANNING SYS (TAMPS)	13,950	13,950		
74	OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONT	1,205	1,205		
75	MARITIME INTEGRATED BROADCAST SYSTEMS	3,447	3,447		

	TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT		2,239,291	2,257,678	-69,167		+18,387
	AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
	SONOBUOYS	182,946	182,946	182,946			
100	SONOBUOYS—ALL TYPES						
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	47,944	47,944	47,944			
101	WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	76,683	55,738	71,721	-4,962		+15,983
103	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	12,575	12,575	12,875	+300		+300
106	METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT	1,415	1,415	1,415			
107	OTHER PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT	23,152	23,152	23,152			
109	AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES	52,555	45,705	52,555			+6,850
114	AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
	TOTAL, AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	397,270	369,475	392,608	-4,662		+23,133
	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
	SHIP GUN SYSTEM EQUIPMENT	5,572	5,572	5,572			
115	SHIP GUN SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT						
	SHIP MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT	165,769	143,570	165,769			+22,199
118	SHIP MISSILE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	61,462	60,062	61,462			+1,400
123	TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
	FBM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	229,832	201,832	229,832			+28,000
126	STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP						
	ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	66,020	60,804	60,767	-5,253		-37
127	SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS	7,559	7,559	7,559			
128	ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
	OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	20,619	20,619	20,619			
132	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP	11,251	10,759	11,251			+492
133	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION						
	OTHER EXPENDABLE ORDNANCE	84,080	70,672	84,080			+13,408
137	TRAINING DEVICE MODS						
	TOTAL, ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT ..	652,164	581,449	646,911	-5,253		+65,462
138	CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT ..						
139	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	2,282	2,282	2,282			
	GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS	547	547	547			

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
								Budget estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate	Qty.
140	CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP		8,949		6,187		8,949				
141	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT		14,621		14,621		14,621				+2,762
142	TACTICAL VEHICLES		957		957		957				
143	AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT		8,187		8,187		8,187				
144	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT		2,942		2,942		2,942				
145	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION		17,592		16,142		16,143				+1
146	PHYSICAL SECURITY VEHICLES		1,177		1,177		1,177				
	TOTAL CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		57,254		53,042		55,805				+2,763
147	SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		10,937		10,937		10,937				
148	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT		10,374		6,674		10,374				+3,700
149	OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		5,668		5,668		5,668				
149	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION		90,921		39,921		90,921				+51,000
150	SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS										
	TOTAL SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		117,900		63,200		117,900				+54,700
	PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
151	TRAINING DEVICES		22,046		22,046		22,046				
	TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
152	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		24,208		24,208		24,208				
153	EDUCATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		874		874		874				
154	MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		2,634		2,634		2,634				
155	NAVAL MIP SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		3,573		3,573		3,573				
157	OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		3,997		3,997		3,997				-3,997
158	CAISR EQUIPMENT		9,638		9,638		9,638				
159	ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		21,001		21,001		21,001				+4,000
160	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT		94,957		90,957		94,957				-15,000
161	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY		87,214		87,214		72,214				-15,000
164	NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE SERVICE		116,165		106,165		116,165				+10,000

165	TOTAL, PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUP- PORT EQUIPMENT	386,307	372,307	367,310	- 18,997	- 4,997
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	325,084	325,084	325,084		
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	10,847	10,847	10,847		
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY	5,975,828	5,923,379	6,060,433	+ 84,605	+ 137,054

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
3	Hybrid Electric Drive [HED]	22,704	16,064	- 6,640
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Reduce one kit due to testing delay			- 6,640
5	Other Navigation Equipment	45,431	39,881	- 5,550
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Surface inertial navigation system ECP kits cost growth			- 2,282
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Surface scalable ECDIS-N kits cost growth			- 2,731
	Restoring acquisition accountability: ANWSN-9 speed log cost growth			- 537
6	Sub Periscopes & Imaging Equip	60,970	52,603	- 8,367
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Low profile photonics mast concurrency			- 8,367
8	Firefighting Equipment	15,486	15,134	- 352
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Emergency escape breathing devices cost growth			- 352
15	LCS Class Support Equipment	36,206	27,206	- 9,000
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 9,000
17	LPD Class Support Equipment	49,095	44,052	- 5,043
	Restoring acquisition accountability: HW/SW Obsolescence cost growth			- 5,043
23	Underwater Eod Programs	58,783	54,321	- 4,462
	Restoring acquisition accountability: MK 18 Mod 1 retrofit kits ahead of need			- 2,120
	Restoring acquisition accountability: MK 18 Mod 2 unit cost savings			- 2,342
27	Reactor Power Units		298,200	+ 298,200
	Program increase: CVN-73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul			+ 298,200
30	Standard Boats	129,784	126,445	- 3,339
	Improving funds management: CNIC force protection large contract delay			- 791
	Improving funds management: CNIC workboat contract delay			- 1,776
	Improving funds management: Rigid inflatable boat contract delay			- 772
34	LCS Common Mission Modules Equipment	37,413	33,438	- 3,975
	Restoring acquisition accountability: MPCE cost growth			- 1,032
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Containers cost growth			- 2,943
37	LCS SUW Mission Modules	44,208	19,145	- 25,063
	Improving funds management: SUW mission package ahead of need			- 20,552
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Gun module cost growth			- 3,080
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Maritime security module cost growth			- 1,431
38	Remote Minehunting System [RMS]	42,276		- 42,276
	Improving funds management: Ahead of need			- 42,276
47	SSTD	12,051	10,653	- 1,398
	Improving funds management: AN/SLQ-25A installation funding			- 1,398
51	AN/SLQ-32	214,582	195,196	- 19,386
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Block 1B3 contract delay due to test schedule slips			- 10,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Block 1B3 installation funding ahead of need due to contract delay			- 3,034

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Block 2 installation funding ahead of need due to contract delay			- 6,352
60	Minesweeping System Replacement	42,108	41,482	- 626
	Restoring acquisition accountability: AN/SQQ-32 cost growth			- 626
65	Other Training Equipment	46,122	42,810	- 3,312
	Restoring acquisition accountability: BFFT ship sets cost growth			- 1,032
	Improving funds management: BFFT ship sets installation funding			- 2,280
78	CANES	357,589	321,373	- 36,216
	Maintain program affordability: CANES afloat excess growth			- 26,606
	Maintain program affordability: CANES afloat excess installation funding			- 9,610
80	CANES—Intell	65,015	58,050	- 6,965
	Maintain program affordability: CANES—Intell afloat excess growth			- 5,865
	Maintain program affordability: CANES—Intell afloat excess installation funding			- 1,100
95	Info Systems Security Program (ISSP)	110,766	109,502	- 1,264
	Improving funds management: COMSEC installation cost growth			- 1,264
103	Aircraft Support Equipment	76,683	71,721	- 4,962
	Improving funds management: Advanced arresting gear contract savings			- 4,962
106	Meteorological Equipment	12,575	12,875	+ 300
	Program increase: CVN-73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul			+ 300
127	SSN Combat Control Systems	66,020	60,767	- 5,253
	Improving funds management: SSN688 class installation funding			- 5,253
145	Items Under \$5 Million	17,592	16,143	- 1,449
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Truck emergency response cost growth			- 1,449
157	Operating Forces Support Equipment	3,997		- 3,997
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 3,997
161	Enterprise Information Technology	87,214	72,214	- 15,000
	Improving funds management: Authorization program reduction			- 15,000

Littoral Combat Ship [LCS] Mission Modules.—In February 2014, the Secretary of Defense announced the Department's decision to reduce the planned LCS buy from 52 to 32 ships and ordered the Secretary of the Navy to conduct a review of the Navy's small surface combatant needs. The Committee understands these actions were taken due to concerns raised by the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation and Congress on the escalating costs of the ship as well as its survivability. Additionally, the Government Accountability Office [GAO] has repeatedly noted that the Navy's strategy includes buying significant numbers of LCS mission modules before their performance is demonstrated and that the current inventory of mission packages exceeds the quantities necessary for operational testing.

Given the testing concerns raised by GAO and the Department's current strategic pause on the LCS program, the Committee finds it prudent to also slow the procurement of LCS mission modules. Therefore, the Committee recommends a total reduction of

\$71,314,000 to the fiscal year 2015 budget request for LCS mission modules and related components.

Consolidated Afloat Networks and Enterprise Services [CANES].—The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$422,604,000 for continued procurement and installation of CANES and CANES—Intell. The Committee understands that the CANES program declared a Major Automated Information System [MAIS] Critical Change in December 2013 due to the inability to achieve a full deployment decision within 5 years of selection of the preferred alternative. The testing schedule for the CANES program has faced significant delays due to unrelated mechanical problems on the original test ship USS *Milius* (DDG 69), and initial operational test and evaluation is now scheduled to take place in August 2014 aboard the USS *Higgins* (DDG 76). The Committee is concerned with the steep increase in procurement of systems planned for fiscal year 2015, and that the program will reach its maximum production rate before significant testing results can be completed. Therefore, the Committee recommends a reduction of six systems from the fiscal year 2015 budget request, which would support an overall increase in the number of systems procured from 20 in fiscal year 2014 to 27 in fiscal year 2015.

PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2014	\$1,240,958,000
Budget estimate, 2015	983,352,000
House allowance	927,232,000
Committee recommendation	944,029,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$944,029,000. This is \$39,323,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
								Budget estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate	Qty.
	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT										
14	COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS		9,178		9,178		9,178				
15	COMBAT OPERATIONS CENTER		12,272		12,272		12,272				
	COMMON AVIATION COMMAND AND CONTROL SYS										
16	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT		30,591		27,591		30,334			-257	+2,743
	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT										
17	OTHER SUPPORT (TEL)		2,385		2,385		2,385				
	COMMAND AND CONTROL										
19	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC)		4,205		4,205		4,205				
20	AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS		8,002		8,002		8,002				
	RADAR + EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)										
21	RADAR SYSTEMS		19,595		14,925		19,375			-220	+4,450
22	GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR	2	89,230	2	81,730		89,230				+7,500
23	RQ-21 UAS	3	70,565	3	68,065		70,565				+2,500
	INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)										
24	FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM		11,860		11,860		11,860				
25	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		44,340		42,550		37,872			-6,468	-4,678
28	RQ-11 UAV		2,737		2,737		2,737				
30	DGGS-MC		20,620		20,620		20,620				
	OTHER COMM/ELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)										
31	NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT		9,798		7,338		9,798				+2,460
32	NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE NETWORK (NGEN)		2,073		2,073		2,073				
	OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL)										
33	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES		33,570		33,570		28,442			-5,128	-5,128
34	COMMAND POST SYSTEMS		38,186		38,186		38,186				
35	RADIO SYSTEMS		64,494		64,494		64,394			-100	-100
36	COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS		72,956		63,956		64,325			-8,631	+369

37	COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	43,317 2,498	37,817 2,498	43,317 4,417	+1,919	+5,500 +1,919
	TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELEC- TRONICS EQUIPMENT	592,472	556,052	573,587	-18,885	+17,535
	SUPPORT VEHICLES					
	ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES					
38	COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES	332	332	332		
39	COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES	11,035	11,035	11,035		
	TACTICAL VEHICLES					
40	5/4T TRUCK HMMWV [MYP]	57,255	57,255	51,055	-6,200	-6,200
41	MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS	938	938	938		
44	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE	7	7,500	7,500		
45	FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS	10,179	10,179	10,179		
	OTHER SUPPORT					
46	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	11,023	11,023	11,023		
	TOTAL, SUPPORT VEHICLES	98,262	98,262	92,062	-6,200	-6,200
	ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT					
	ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT					
47	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT	994	994	994		
48	BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT	1,256	1,256	1,256		
49	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS	3,750	3,750	3,750		
50	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED	8,985	8,985	8,985		
51	AMPHIBIOUS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	4,418	4,418	4,418		
52	EOD SYSTEMS	6,528	6,528	6,280	-248	-248
	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT					
53	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	26,510	26,510	24,643	-1,867	-1,867
54	GARRISON MOBILE ENGR EQUIP	1,910	1,910	1,910		
55	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP	8,807	8,807	8,807		
56	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	128	128	128		
	GENERAL PROPERTY					
58	TRAINING DEVICES	3,412	3,412	3,217	-195	-195
59	CONTAINER FAMILY	1,662	1,662	1,662		
60	FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT	3,669	3,669	3,669		

[Dollars in thousands]

Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
						Budget estimate	House estimate	Qty.	House estimate
62 OTHER SUPPORT ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		4,272	4,272		4,272				
TOTAL, ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT		76,301	76,301		73,991				-2,310
63 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		16,210	16,210		16,210				
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS		983,352	927,232		944,029			-39,323	+16,797

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	LAV PIP	77,736	67,536	-10,200
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth ..			-10,200
8	Weapons Enhancement Program	3,208	1,608	-1,600
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified MEP program growth			-1,600
11	Follow On To SMAW	4,995	4,867	-128
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth ..			-128
16	Repair and Test Equipment	30,591	30,334	-257
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth ..			-257
21	Radar Systems	19,595	19,375	-220
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified AN/TPS-59 ELS growth			-220
25	Intelligence Support Equipment	44,340	37,872	-6,468
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified program growth			-2,000
	Budget documentation disparity: PMC and IT budget document inconsistencies			-4,468
33	Common Computer Resources	33,570	28,442	-5,128
	Budget documentation disparity: PMC and IT budget document inconsistencies			-5,128
35	Radio Systems	64,494	64,394	-100
	Budget documentation disparity: PMC and IT budget document inconsistencies			-100
36	Comm Switching & Control Systems	72,956	64,325	-8,631
	Improving funds management: Forward financing and project delays			-7,404
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified program support growth			-769
	Budget documentation disparity: PMC and IT budget document inconsistencies			-458
40	5/4T Truck HMMWV [MYP]	57,255	51,055	-6,200
	Improving funds management: HMMWV funding excess to need			-6,200
52	EOD Systems	6,528	6,280	-248
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth ..			-248
53	Physical Security Equipment	26,510	24,643	-1,867
	Budget documentation disparity: PMC and IT budget document inconsistencies			-1,867
58	Training Devices	3,412	3,217	-195
	Budget documentation disparity: PMC and IT budget document inconsistencies			-195
	Classified programs	2,498	4,417	+1,919
	Classified adjustment			+1,919

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2014	\$10,379,180,000
Budget estimate, 2015	11,542,571,000
House allowance	12,046,941,000
Committee recommendation	11,214,612,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$11,214,612,000. This is \$327,959,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
							Budget estimate	House estimate	Budget estimate	House estimate
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE										
COMBAT AIRCRAFT										
TACTICAL FORCES										
1 F-35	26	3,553,046	28	3,777,046	26	3,331,046	-222,000	-2	-446,000	
2 F-35 [AP-CY]		291,880		291,880		291,880				
TOTAL, COMBAT AIRCRAFT		3,844,926		4,068,926		3,622,926	-222,000		-446,000	
AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT										
OTHER AIRLIFT										
3 KC-46A TANKER	7	1,582,685	7	1,582,685	7	1,582,685				
4 C-130J	7	482,396	7	482,396	7	482,396				
5 C-130J ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		140,000		140,000		140,000				
6 HC-130J	4	332,024	4	332,024	4	332,024				
7 HC-130J		50,000		50,000		50,000				
3 MC-130J	2	190,971	2	190,971	2	292,971	+102,000		+102,000	
9 MC-130J		80,000		80,000		80,000				
TOTAL, AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT		2,858,076		2,858,076		2,960,076	+102,000		+102,000	
HELICOPTERS										
CV-22 OSPREY				15,000					-15,000	
MISSION SUPPORT AIRCRAFT										
CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C	6	2,562	6	10,400	6	10,400	+7,838			
OTHER AIRCRAFT										
TARGET DRONES										
17 AC-130J	37	98,576	37	98,576	37	98,576				
16 RQ-4 UAV		54,475		54,475		44,475	-10,000		-10,000	
18 MQ-9	12	240,218	24	373,218	12	202,418	-37,800	-12	-170,800	
TOTAL, OTHER AIRCRAFT		395,832		551,670		355,870	-39,962		-195,800	

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
								Budget estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate	Qty.
	MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT										
	STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT										
20	B-2A		23,865		24,365		23,865				- 500
21	B-1B		140,252		140,252		127,990				- 12,262
22	B-52		180,148		180,148		172,448				- 7,700
23	LARGE AIRCRAFT INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES ...		13,159		13,159		13,159				
	TACTICAL AIRCRAFT										
25	F-15		387,314		498,314		387,314				- 111,000
26	F-16		12,336		9,042		12,336				+ 3,294
27	F-22A		180,207		180,207		180,207				
28	F-35 MODIFICATIONS		187,646		156,146		187,646				+ 31,500
29	INCREMENT 3.2b		28,500		28,500		28,500				- 28,500
	AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT										
30	C-5		14,731		14,731		14,731				
31	C-5M		331,466		331,466		226,131				- 105,335
33	C-17A		127,494		89,394		127,494				+ 38,100
34	C-21		264		264		264				
35	C-32A		8,767		4,767		8,767				+ 4,000
36	C-37A		18,457		457		18,457				+ 18,000
	TRAINER AIRCRAFT										
38	GLIDER MODS		132		132		132				
39	T6		14,486		14,486		14,486				
40	T-1		7,650		7,650		7,650				
41	T-38		34,845		28,845		34,845				+ 6,000
	OTHER AIRCRAFT										
44	KC-10A (ATCA)		34,313		77,513		34,313				- 43,200
45	C-12		1,960		1,960		1,960				
48	VC-25A MOD		1,072		1,072		1,072				
49	C-40		7,292		3,292		7,292				+ 4,000
50	C-130		35,869		109,671		58,469				- 51,202
51	C-130J MODS		7,919		7,919		7,919				
52	C-135		63,568		63,568		63,568				

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		House estimate
								Budget estimate	Qty.	
86	WAR CONSUMABLES		82,906		82,906		82,906			
	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES									
87	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES		1,007,276		1,007,276		939,476		-67,800	-67,800
	TOTAL AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES		1,243,584		1,242,584		1,175,784		-67,800	-66,800
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		69,380		69,380		69,380			
	TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		11,542,571		12,046,941		11,214,612		-327,959	-832,329

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	F-35	3,553,046	3,331,046	- 222,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Airframe anticipated negotiations savings			- 222,000
8	MC-130J	190,971	292,971	+ 102,000
	Program increase: Add one aircraft			+ 102,000
12	Civil Air Patrol A/C	2,562	10,400	+ 7,838
	Program increase			+ 7,838
16	RQ-4	54,475	44,475	- 10,000
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances			- 10,000
18	MQ-9	240,218	202,418	- 37,800
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances			- 37,800
21	B-1B	140,252	127,990	- 12,262
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Change in acquisition strategy			- 12,262
22	B-52	180,148	172,448	- 7,700
	Program increase: Anti-skid replacement			+ 6,300
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			- 14,000
29	Increment 3.2b	28,500		- 28,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Acquisition strategy early to need			- 28,500
31	C-5M	331,466	226,131	- 105,335
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 36,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Production contract delays			- 69,335
50	C-130	35,869	58,469	+ 22,600
	C-130 engine upgrades			+ 22,600
58	Airborne Warning & Control System	160,284	191,284	+ 31,000
	Program increase			+ 31,000
87	Other Production Charges	1,007,276	939,476	- 67,800
	Classified adjustment			- 67,800

C-130 Avionics Modernization Program.—The Committee supports modernization of the C-130H fleet and understands the Air Force plans to operate approximately 150 C-130H models for the foreseeable future. As such, the Committee supports continuation of the C-130 Avionics Modernization Program [AMP] program to ensure the Air National Guard operates relevant and modernized aircraft. Therefore, consistent with the report accompanying S. 2410, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, as reported, the Committee directs the Air Force to obligate prior year funds authorized and appropriated for the C-130 AMP program to conduct such activities as are necessary to complete testing and transition the program to production and installation of modernization kits.

C-130 Aircraft Fleet.—The Committee recognizes the Air Force's commitment to intra-theater lift as supported by the multi-year procurement plan of the C-130J aircraft. However, with a sizable fleet of older model C-130s residing within the Reserve components, the Committee is concerned with the long term sustainment of the fleet. Consistent with section 138 of S. 2410, the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2015, the Committee directs the Air Force to develop a modernization, recapitalization and fielding plan for the current C-130 fleet that addresses the most

recent Quadrennial Defense Review requirement that the Air Force maintain 300 intra-theater lift aircraft and addresses the recommendations of the National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force.

B-52 Upgrades.—The Committee commends the Department’s efforts to upgrade the B-52 aircraft. While supportive of the aircraft and its valuable legacy within the Air Force, the Committee is monitoring the costs associated with follow-on modifications to the aircraft. As such, the Committee requests that the Secretary of the Air Force provide regular updates on progress of the internal weapons bay upgrade and the combat network communications technology enhancement and how these modernization efforts will allow the B-52 to conduct additional missions and participate in future operations.

Undefinitized Contract Actions.—During review of the budget request, the Committee notes several programs within the Aircraft Procurement, Air Force account that had large amounts of unobligated balances in prior years. For example, two programs had over \$600,000,000 unobligated between fiscal year 2012 and 2013. Among those programs, the Air Force had chosen undefinitized contract actions [UCAs] in awarding contracts. While these contract actions are certainly within the bounds of authority, as outlined in Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation, Part 217, UCAs are required to be definitized 180 days after award; however, many of these contract awards took longer. For example, in documentation provided to the Committee for the HC/MC 130 recapitalization program, the obligation data indicated that typical contract negotiations take 27 months for completion. The Committee believes such practice is unacceptable. The Government Accountability Office [GAO] has investigated the use of UCAs and has made recommendations to reduce their usage noting that the risk to the government are greater when there is little incentive for contractors to finalize the contract. Therefore, the Committee directs the Government Accountability Office to review the use of UCAs within the Aircraft Procurement, Air Force account to determine how often this contracting option is being utilized and for what purpose; if other contract award options are more efficient and effective; and what recommendations GAO can make to reduce the number of UCAs within the account in the future and submit a report 120 days after enactment of this act.

MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2014	\$4,446,763,000
Budget estimate, 2015	4,690,506,000
House allowance	4,546,211,000
Committee recommendation	4,652,552,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,652,552,000. This is \$37,954,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
								Qty.	Budget estimate
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE								
	BALLISTIC MISSILES								
	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT—BALLISTIC								
1	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ—BALLISTIC		80,187		80,187		80,187		
	OTHER MISSILES								
	TACTICAL								
3	JOINT AIR-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE [JASSM]	224	337,438	224	337,438	224	329,158		-8,280
4	SIDEWINDER (AIM-9X)	303	132,995	303	132,995	303	129,121		-3,874
5	AMRAAM	200	329,600	200	329,600	200	319,600		-10,000
6	PREDATOR HELIFIRE MISSILE	283	33,878	283	33,878	283	33,878		
7	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB	246	70,578	246	18,047	246	50,578		+32,531
7A	PREFERRED MUNITIONS				10,000				-10,000
	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES								
8	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS/POLLUTION PREVENTION		749		749		749		
	TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES		905,238		862,707		863,084		-42,154
	MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES								
	CLASS IV								
9	MM III MODIFICATIONS		28,477		28,477		28,477		
10	AGM-650 MAVERICK		276		276		276		
11	AGM-88A HARM		297		297		297		
12	AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE		16,083		16,083		16,083		
13	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB		6,924		6,924		6,924		
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES		52,057		52,057		52,057		
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS								
14	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS		87,366		87,366		87,366		

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		House estimate
								Budget estimate	Qty.	
	OTHER SUPPORT									
	SPACE PROGRAMS									
15	ADVANCED EHF		298,890		298,890		298,890			
16	WIDEBAND GAPPILLER SATELLITES		38,971		34,998		36,071			+ 1,073
17	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT	1	235,397	1	235,397	1	228,797			- 6,600
18	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT [AP-CY]		57,000		87,000		87,000			
19	SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC)		16,201		10,500		16,201			+ 5,701
20	GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE)		52,090		50,000		52,090			+ 2,090
21	DEF METEOROLOGICAL SAT PROG (SPACE)		87,000		78,000		30,000			- 48,000
22	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH INFRASTRUCTURE (SPACE)		750,143		715,143		688,143			- 27,000
23	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH (SPACE)		630,903		630,903		733,603			+ 102,700
24	SBIR HIGH (SPACE)		450,884		444,884		450,884			+ 6,000
28	SPECIAL PROGRAMS									
	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS		60,179		60,179		60,179			
	TOTAL OTHER SUPPORT		2,677,658		2,645,894		2,681,858			+ 35,964
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		888,000		818,000		888,000			+ 70,000
	TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		4,690,506		4,546,211		4,652,552			+ 106,341

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
3	Joint Air-Surface Standoff Missile	337,438	329,158	- 8,280
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Baseline missile unit cost growth			- 8,280
4	Sidewinder	132,995	129,121	- 3,874
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			- 3,874
5	AMRAAM	329,600	319,600	- 10,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program decrease ..			- 10,000
7	Small Diameter Bomb	70,578	50,578	- 20,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Milestone C slip			- 20,000
16	Wideband Gapfiller Satellites (Space)	38,971	36,071	- 2,900
	Maintain program affordability: Support cost growth			- 2,900
17	GPS III Space Segment	287,564	280,964	- 6,600
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Launch support and on-orbit check-out early to need			- 6,600
18	GPS III Space Segment	57,000	87,000	+ 30,000
	Program increase: Additional funds for advance procurement			+ 30,000
21	Def Meterological Sat Prog (Space)	87,000	30,000	- 57,000
	Maintain program affordability: Compete DMSP F-20 storage			- 57,000
22	Evolved Expendable Launch Veh (Infrast.)	750,143	688,143	- 62,000
	Improving funds management: Forward financing			- 62,000
23	Evolved Expendable Launch Veh (Space)	630,903	733,603	+ 102,700
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			- 22,300
	Program increase: One competitive launch			+ 125,000

Global Positioning System [GPS] Digital Payload.—The Committee is aware of technical and cost challenges with the current analog navigation payload on GPS III. The Committee believes that early Air Force investment, when combined with industry investment, into the development of a digital navigation payload will significantly reduce cost and schedule risk for the future GPS constellation. The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$32,900,000 for GPS III Space Modernization Initiative [SMI]. The Committee fully supports the Air Force's SMI request and directs that of the amount appropriated, not less than \$20,000,000 shall be used to mature an alternate GPS digital payload.

Space Modernization Initiative [SMI].—Recently, the Department of Defense has begun to shift its perspective of the architecture for space-based capabilities away from monolithic space platforms to creative distribution of payloads on national, civil, and commercial satellites. The Committee firmly believes that movement away from large satellites, where possible, will result in significant cost savings and reduce the schedule to deliver payloads into orbit. Further, the Air Force is entering into a pathfinder program with the commercial satellite communications industry that may provide enhanced coverage at a lower cost to both the Air Force and the commercial provider. The Committee commends the Department of Defense and the Air Force for their unconventional approach to ensure viable space-based capability for years to come. The Committee encourages the Air Force to use funds appropriated for the

space modernization initiative to further implement these new space-based capability strategies.

Defense Meteorological Satellite Program [DMSP].—The budget request includes \$87,000,000 for storage, integration, test, launch, and early-orbit checkout of one Defense Meteorological Satellite Program [DMSP] satellite. Air Force analysis indicates this satellite will not be needed on-orbit until 2020, costing an additional \$425,000,000 in storage during that period. This amount is excessive for a 1990s technology satellite originally costing approximately \$500,000,000. The Committee is aware that only a few of the capabilities provided by this satellite cannot be met by other existing civil and commercial satellites. The Committee questions the Air Force’s current plan to launch this satellite in 2020 at a significant cost to the Government for a capability that may be met through other space-based assets. Therefore, Committee directs the Air Force to reassess its plan for the last DMSP and pursue a least cost approach for the disposition of this satellite. Of the amount requested for DMSP, the Committee provides \$30,000,000.

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2014	\$729,677,000
Budget estimate, 2015	677,400,000
House allowance	648,200,000
Committee recommendation	675,459,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$675,459,000. This is \$1,941,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		House estimate
								Budget estimate	Qty.	
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE									
1	ROCKETS		4,696		4,696		4,696			
2	CARTRIDGES		133,271		114,971		133,271			+ 18,300
	BOMBS									
3	PRACTICE BOMBS		31,998		30,098		31,998			+ 1,900
4	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS		148,614		148,614		148,614			
5	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION	2,973	101,400	2,973	101,400		101,400			
5A	PREFERRED MUNITIONS			10,000						- 10,000
	FLARE, IR MUJ-7B									
6	CAD/PAD		29,989		29,989		29,989			
7	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL (EOD)		6,925		6,925		6,925			
8	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		494		494		494			
9	MODIFICATIONS		1,610		1,610		1,610			
10	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000		4,237		4,237		4,237			
	FUZES									
11	FLARES		86,101		86,101		84,160			- 1,941
12	FUZES		103,417		84,417		103,417			+ 19,000
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, AIR FORCE		652,752		623,552		650,811			+ 27,259
	WEAPONS									
13	SMALL ARMS		24,648		24,648		24,648			
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE		677,400		648,200		675,459			+ 27,259

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
11	Flares	86,101	84,160	- 1,941
	Restoring acquisition accountability: MJU-7A/B unit cost growth	- 1,941

OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2014	\$16,572,754,000
Budget estimate, 2015	16,566,018,000
House allowance	16,639,023,000
Committee recommendation	16,500,308,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$16,500,308,000. This is \$65,710,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		House estimate
								Qty.	Budget estimate	
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE									
	VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT									
1	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES		6,528		6,528		6,528			
	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLE									
2	CARGO + UTILITY VEHICLES		7,639		7,639		7,639			
3	FAMILY MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE		961		1,700		1,700		+ 739	
4	CAP VEHICLES		11,027		11,027		11,027			
	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (CARGO)									
5	SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES		4,447		4,447		4,447			
6	SECURITY AND TACTICAL VEHICLES		693		693		693			
	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (SPECIAL)									
7	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT		10,152		10,152		10,152			
	FIRE FIGHTING/CRASH RESCUE VEHICLES									
8	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT		15,108		15,108		15,108			
	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000									
9	BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT		10,212		10,212		10,212			
10	RUNWAY SNOW REMOVAL & CLEANING EQUIP		57,049		57,049		57,049			
	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M									
	TOTAL, VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT		123,816		124,555		124,555		+ 739	
	ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIP									
11	COMM SECURITY EQUIPMENT (COMSEC)		106,182		98,282		95,833		- 10,349	- 2,449
12	COMSEC EQUIPMENT		1,363		1,363		1,363			
	MODIFICATIONS (COMSEC)									
13	INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS		2,832		2,832		2,832			
14	INTELLIGENCE TRAINING EQUIPMENT		32,329		32,329		32,329			
16	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS		15,649		15,649		15,649			

(Dollars in thousands)

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		House estimate
								Budget estimate	Qty.	
17	ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS									
18	TRAFFIC CONTROL/LANDING		42,200		25,818		30,000		-12,200	+4,182
19	NATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM		6,333		6,333		6,333			
20	BATTLE CONTROL SYSTEM—FIXED		2,708		2,708		2,708			
21	WEATHER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPRO		50,033		50,033		50,033			
22	WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST		16,348		16,348		16,348			
23	STRATEGIC COMMAND AND CONTROL		139,984		139,984		139,984			
24	CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX		20,101		20,101		20,101			
25	INTEGRATED STRAT PLAN & ANALY NETWORK [ISPAN]		9,060		9,060		9,060			
26	SPECIAL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS									
27	GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY		39,100		39,100		39,100		-9,912	-9,912
28	AF GLOBAL COMMAND & CONTROL SYSTEM		19,010		19,010		19,010			
29	MOBILITY COMMAND AND CONTROL		11,462		11,462		11,462			
30	AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM		37,426		37,426		37,426			
31	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES		26,634		26,634		53,634		+27,000	+27,000
32	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMM N		1,289		1,289		1,289			
33	C3 COUNTERMEASURES		11,508		11,508		11,508			
34	GCSS-AF FOS		3,670		3,670		3,670			
35	DEFENSE ENTERPRISE ACCOUNTING AND MGMT		15,298		15,298		15,298			
36	THEATER BATTLE MGT C2 SYS		9,565		9,565		9,565			
37	AIR OPERATIONS CENTER [AOC]		25,772		25,772		25,772			
38	AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS									
39	INFORMATION TRANSPORT SYSTEMS		81,286		81,286		112,586		+31,300	+31,300
40	AFNET		122,228		90,928		90,928		-31,300	-31,300
41	USCENTCOM		16,342		16,342		16,342			
42	FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE OF SIGHT TERMINALS		60,230		50,230		60,230			+10,000
43	DISA PROGRAMS									
44	SPACE BASED IR SENSOR PROG SPACE		26,100		26,100		26,100			
45	NAVSTAR GPS SPACE		2,075		2,075		2,075			
46	NUDET DETECTION SYS [NDS] SPACE		4,656		4,656		4,656			
47	AF SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK SPACE		54,630		54,630		54,630			
48	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE		69,713		69,713		62,713		-7,000	-7,000

48	MILSATCOM SPACE	41,355	33,755	-7,600	-7,600
49	SPACE MODS SPACE	31,722	31,722
50	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEM	39,203	59,603	-2,000	+20,400
	ORGANIZATION AND BASE
51	TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT	50,335	50,335
53	RADIO EQUIPMENT	14,846	14,846
54	CCTV/AUDIOVISUAL EQUIPMENT	3,635	3,635
55	BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE	79,607	89,519	+9,912	+9,912
	MODIFICATIONS
56	COMM ELECT MODS	56,398	105,398	+49,000
	TOTAL, ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNI- CATIONS EQUIP	1,471,617	1,459,468	-12,149	+124,833
	OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP
	PERSONAL SAFETY AND RESCUE EQUIP
57	NIGHT VISION GOGGLES	12,577	12,577
58	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 (SAFETY)	31,209	31,209
	DEPOT PLANT + MATERIALS HANDLING EQ
59	MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING	7,670	7,670
	BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT
60	BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT	14,125	14,125
61	CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS	16,744	16,744
62	PRODUCTIVITY CAPITAL INVESTMENT	2,495	2,495
63	MOBILITY EQUIPMENT	10,573	10,573
64	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (BASE SUPPORT)	5,462	5,462
	SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS
66	DARP RC135	24,710	24,710
67	DISTRIBUTED GROUND SYSTEMS	206,743	206,743
69	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM	537,370	534,370	-3,000	-3,000
70	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROGRAM	77,898	77,898
	TOTAL, OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP	947,576	944,576	-3,000	-3,000
	SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS
72	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	32,813	32,813

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
3	CAP vehicles	961	1,700	+ 739
	Program increase			+ 739
11	Comsec Equipment	106,182	95,833	- 10,349
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances			- 8,260
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Management client unit cost growth			- 2,089
17	Air Traffic Control & Landing Sys	42,200	30,000	- 12,200
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Schedule slip			- 12,200
28	AF Global Command & Control Sys	19,010	9,098	- 9,912
	AF requested transfer to line 55			- 9,912
31	Combat Training Ranges	26,634	53,634	+ 27,000
	Program increase			+ 27,000
38	Information Transport Systems	81,286	112,586	+ 31,300
	AF requested transfer from line 39			+ 31,300
39	AFNET	122,228	90,928	- 31,300
	AF requested transfer to line 38			- 31,300
47	Spacelift Range System Space	69,713	62,713	- 7,000
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances			- 7,000
48	Milsatcom Space	41,355	33,755	- 7,600
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Enterprise terminals unit cost growth			- 7,600
50	Counterspace System	61,603	59,603	- 2,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Counter Communications System unjustified unit cost growth			- 2,000
55	Base Comm Infrastructure	79,607	89,519	+ 9,912
	AF requested transfer from line 28			+ 9,912
69	Special Update Program	537,370	534,370	- 3,000
	Classified adjustment			- 3,000
71	Classified Programs	13,990,196	13,938,896	- 51,300
	Classified adjustment			- 51,300

Energy Efficient Military Billeting.—The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to continue efforts to leverage technology and deliver energy efficient returns on investments, such as thermal technologies in battlefield housing. Such technologies will realize cost savings by reducing the military's battlefield fuel footprint while also making current billeting structures more energy efficient.

Weapon Storage Area Recapitalization.—The Committee commends the Air Force for its focus on weapon storage area recapitalization and encourages the Air Force to take into consideration the amount of immediate use when choosing the sequence for recapitalization projects. With this in mind, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a report to the congressional defense committees within 90 days of enactment of this act detailing the rationale for the order chosen and the plan to recapitalize the identified weapon storage areas.

PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2014	\$4,240,416,000
Budget estimate, 2015	4,221,437,000
House allowance	4,353,121,000
Committee recommendation	4,380,729,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,380,729,000. This is \$159,292,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
								Budget estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate	Qty.
25	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DTSA		1,039		1,039		1,039				
28	MAJOR EQUIPMENT		68,880		68,880						
	AEGIS BMD ADVANCE PROCUREMENT										
29	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, MDA										
29	THAAD SYSTEM	31	464,424	31	414,624	31	464,424				+49,800
30	AEGIS BMD	30	435,430	52	556,050	30	504,310				-51,740
31	BMDs AN/TPY-2 RADARS		48,140		48,140		88,140				+40,000
32	AEGIS ASHORE PHASE III		225,774		225,774		225,774				
34	IRON DOME SYSTEM	1	175,972	1	350,972	1	350,972				
41	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NSA INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM [ISSP]		3,448		23,448		3,448				-20,000
42	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD		43,708		43,708		39,708				-4,000
44	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, IJS		10,783		10,783		10,283				-500
46	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS		29,599		29,599		29,599				
	TOTAL, MAJOR EQUIPMENT		1,835,579		2,101,399		2,044,341				-57,058
	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND										
	AVIATION PROGRAMS										
47	MC-12		40,500				35,100				+5,400
48	SOF ROTARY WING UPGRADES AND SUSTAINMENT										
49	MH-60 SOF MODERNIZATION PROGRAM		112,226		112,226		112,226				
50	NON-STANDARD AVIATION		3,021		19,821		3,021				-16,800
52	MH-47 CHINOOK		48,200		37,700		30,200				-7,500
53	RQ-11 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE		22,230		22,230		22,230				
54	CV-22 SOF MODIFICATION		6,397		6,397		6,397				
56	MQ-9 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE		25,578		25,578		18,894				-6,684
			15,651		12,893		15,651				+2,758

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
21	Major Equipment	11,640	11,402	- 238
	Restoring acquisition accountability: AFRTS cost growth			- 238
24	Vehicles	1,500		- 1,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unjustified requirement			- 1,500
28	Aegis BMD Advance Procurement	68,880		- 68,880
	Transfer to line 30 for All Up Round procurement			- 68,880
30	Aegis BMD	435,430	504,310	+ 68,880
	Transfer from line 28 for All Up Round procurement ..			+ 68,880
31	BMDS AN/TPY-2 Radars	48,140	88,140	+ 40,000
	TPY-2 spares			+ 40,000
34	Iron Dome	175,972	350,972	+ 175,000
	Program Increase			+ 175,000
42	Major Equipment, OSD	43,708	39,708	- 4,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Cost growth			- 4,000
44	Major Equipment, TJS	10,783	10,283	- 500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Classified adjustment			- 500
47	MC-12	40,500	35,100	- 5,400
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Early to need			- 5,400
50	Non-Standard Aviation	48,200	30,200	- 18,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Reduce 1 aircraft			- 18,000
54	CV-22 Modification	25,578	18,894	- 6,684
	Budget documentation disparity: Unjustified request for aviation equipment			- 6,684
58	Precision Strike Package	145,929	134,929	- 11,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Unjustified recurring cost growth			- 11,000
59	AC/MC-130J	65,130	70,988	+ 5,858
	Program Increase for modifications			+ 5,858
72	Combatant Craft Systems	51,937	50,337	- 1,600
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Combat craft medium excess costs			- 1,600
90	Installation Force Protection		12,740	+ 12,740
	Transfer from Line #95			+ 12,740
91	Individual Protection		79,605	+ 79,605
	Transfer from Line #96			+ 79,605
92	Joint Bio Defense Program (Medical)		13,784	+ 13,784
	Transfer from Line #95			+ 4,872
	Transfer from Line #96			+ 8,912
93	Collective Protection		55,560	+ 55,560
	Transfer from Line #96			+ 55,560
94	Contamination Avoidance		175,840	+ 175,840
	Program Increase			+ 17,000
	Transfer from Line #95			+ 152,525
	Transfer from Line #96			+ 6,315
95	Chemical Biological Situational Awareness	170,137		- 170,137
	Transfer to Line #90			- 12,740
	Transfer to Line #92			- 4,872
	Transfer to Line #94			- 152,525
96	CB Protection & Hazard Mitigation	150,392		- 150,392
	Transfer to Line #91			- 79,605
	Transfer to Line #92			- 8,912
	Transfer to Line #93			- 55,560
	Transfer to Line #94			- 6,315
	Classified Programs	540,894	511,250	- 29,644

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Classified Adjustment	-29,644

Special Operations Command [SOCOM] Manned Intelligence Surveillance Reconnaissance [ISR] Aircraft.—The Committee notes the fiscal year 2015 budget request of \$40,500,000 for modification of MC-12 aircraft being transferred from the Air Force to Special Operations Command. However, the Committee is concerned with SOCOM's continuing changes to its requirements for manned ISR aircraft. The incremental changes to the manned ISR fleet, including the proposed divestiture of recently upgraded aircraft, seems to indicate that SOCOM does not understand its long-term ISR requirements. As a result, the Committee concurs with the language as directed by S. 2410, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, as reported, to complete a review of the platform requirements for manned ISR aircraft to support United States Special Operations Forces and includes a reduction of \$5,400,000.

DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES

Appropriations, 2014	\$60,135,000
Budget estimate, 2015	21,638,000
House allowance	51,638,000
Committee recommendation	51,638,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$51,638,000. This is \$30,000,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
								Budget estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate	Qty.
1	DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES		21,638		51,638		51,638				
	DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES								+ 30,000		

Additional Funding.—The Committee recognizes the critical role that the DPA title III program serves in strengthening the U.S. defense industrial base and believes that this work is in the national interest. Therefore, the Committee increases funding for DPA by \$30,000,000 over the budget request. The Committee directs that the additional funding be competitively awarded to new initiatives and priority consideration should be given to completion of DPA projects initiated in prior years. Furthermore, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to inform the congressional defense committees 30 days prior to any obligation or expenditure of these funds.